(a) Correlation of lung dissection measurement versus software measurement with 45 degree line

\[ \text{Software Measurement (g)} \]

\[ \text{Dissection Measurement (g)} \]

\[ n = 22 \]
\[ r = 0.9961 \]
\[ P < 0.001 \]

(b) Bland-Altman of lung dissection measurement versus software measurement: percent difference versus average

\[ \text{Bias} = -8.66\% \]
\[ \text{SD} = 2.22\% \]
\[ 95\% \text{ LOA} \]
\[ \text{From} -4.22\% \]
\[ \text{To} -13.11\% \]

(c) Correlation of lobar dissection measurement versus software measurement with 45 degree line

\[ \text{Software Measurement (g)} \]

\[ \text{Dissection Measurement (g)} \]

\[ n = 110 \]
\[ r = 0.9958 \]
\[ P < 0.001 \]

(d) Bland-Altman of lobar dissection measurement versus software measurement: percent difference versus average

\[ \text{Bias} = -4.67\% \]
\[ \text{SD} = 4.46\% \]
\[ 95\% \text{ LOA} \]
\[ \text{From} 4.24\% \]
\[ \text{To} -13.59\% \]

(e) Correlation of sublobar segment dissection measurement versus software measurement with 45 degree line

\[ \text{Software Measurement (g)} \]

\[ \text{Dissection Measurement (g)} \]

\[ n = 129 \]
\[ r = 0.9234 \]
\[ P = 0.0805 \]

(f) Bland-Altman of sublobar segment dissection measurement versus software measurement: percent difference versus average

\[ \text{Bias} = -0.65\% \]
\[ \text{SD} = 22.60\% \]
\[ 95\% \text{ LOA} \]
\[ \text{From} 44.56\% \]
\[ \text{To} -45.85\% \]