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Performance comparison between the AUC-based permutation VIM and the error-rate-based permutation VIM computed using only observations from the minority class

I.

Distribution of AUC-values for 100 simulated datasets for AUC-based permutation VIM (filled) and error-rate-based permutation VIM computed using only observations from the minority class (unfilled) for different class imbalances. The AUC is used to assess the ability of a VIM to discriminate between predictors with an effect and predictors without an effect. Distributions are shown for total sample sizes of n = 100 (left panel), n = 500 (middle panel) and n = 1000 (right panel).
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Distribution of AUC-values for 100 simulated datasets for AUC-based permutation VIM (filled) and error-rate-based permutation VIM computed using only observations from the minority class (unfilled) for different class imbalances. The AUC is used to assess the ability of a VIM to discriminate between noise predictors and predictors with a weak (left panel), moderate (middle panel) and strong (right panel) effect. Distributions are shown for a total sample size of (a) n = 100, (b) n = 500 and (c) n = 1000.