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1 Supplementary Tables

**Supplementary Table 1.** Crystal data and structure refinement for Boc(\(^{12}QQ^5\))\(_2\)OBn (S42).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>(\text{C}<em>{140}\text{H}</em>{168}\text{N}<em>{16}\text{O}</em>{39}\text{P}_8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(M/\text{g.mol}^{-1})</td>
<td>2946.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a/\text{Å})</td>
<td>17.006 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b/\text{Å})</td>
<td>20.157 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c/\text{Å})</td>
<td>24.463 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha/°)</td>
<td>75.85 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta/°)</td>
<td>69.66 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma/°)</td>
<td>85.93 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V/\text{Å}^3)</td>
<td>7623 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T /\text{K})</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho/\text{g cm}^{-1})</td>
<td>1.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{size (mm)})</td>
<td>0.20x0.15x0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda/\text{Å})</td>
<td>1.54178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu/\text{mm}^{-1})</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>99875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique data</td>
<td>26277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{int})</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parameters/restraints</td>
<td>1828/1941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R1, wR2)</td>
<td>0.125/0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goodness of fit</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC #</td>
<td>1059496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Table 2.** Crystal data and structure refinement for Boc(\(^{12}QQ^4\))\(_3\)OBn (S31).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>(\text{C}<em>{260}\text{H}</em>{304}\text{N}<em>{32}\text{O}</em>{83}\text{P}_{16})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(M/\text{g.mol}^{-1})</td>
<td>5700.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>(P-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a/\text{Å})</td>
<td>22.058 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b/\text{Å})</td>
<td>36.936 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c/\text{Å})</td>
<td>39.490 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha/°)</td>
<td>91.137 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta/°)</td>
<td>101.582 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma/°)</td>
<td>105.808 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V/\text{Å}^3)</td>
<td>30232 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T /\text{K})</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho/\text{g cm}^{-1})</td>
<td>1.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{size (mm)})</td>
<td>0.10x0.10x0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda/\text{Å})</td>
<td>1.54178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu/\text{mm}^{-1})</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>337333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique data</td>
<td>85176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{int})</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parameters/restraints</td>
<td>5923/7126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R1, wR2)</td>
<td>0.176/0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goodness of fit</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC #</td>
<td>1059495</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplementary Table 3.** Crystal data and structure refinement for Boc(mQQ₄)ₓOTMSE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>C₂₅₈H₃¹₀N₃₂O₸₈P₁₆Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/g.mol⁻¹</td>
<td>5830.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>42.984 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>52.9718 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>33.962 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>126.304 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å³</td>
<td>62317 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/K</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ/g cm⁻¹</td>
<td>1.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>size (mm)</td>
<td>0.10×0.10×0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ/Å</td>
<td>1.54178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>441727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique data</td>
<td>60666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rᵣ</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>2572/3026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/restraints</td>
<td>0.157, 0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, wR2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goodness of fit</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC #</td>
<td>1059497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Table 4.** Crystal data and structure refinement for Boc(mQQ₄)ₓOBn (S35).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>C₅₉₈H₅₉₂N₆₄O₁₆₃P₃₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/g.mol⁻¹</td>
<td>11193.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>26.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>28.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>42.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>85.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å³</td>
<td>29746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/K</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ/g cm⁻¹</td>
<td>1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>size (mm)</td>
<td>0.10×0.10×0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ/Å</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>145618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique data</td>
<td>72233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rᵣ</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parameters/restraints</td>
<td>5134/6851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, wR2</td>
<td>0.208, 0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goodness of fit</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC #</td>
<td>1059493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplementary Table 5.** Comparison between the structures of fully protected Boc\((mQQ^4)_{16}\)OBn \((S35)\) and B-DNA. Root mean square deviation of the phosphorus atom positions in the crystal structure of fully protected Boc\((mQQ^4)_{16}\)OBn and a 16-base pair B-DNA duplex (in Å).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RMSD in Å from DNA (maximal deviation observed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-terminal hexadecameric segment</td>
<td>2.336 (3.896)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-terminal hexadecameric segment</td>
<td>2.449 (3.771)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole sequence</td>
<td>2.840 (5.836)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Table 6.** Comparison between the crystal structures of fully protected \((mQQ^4)_n\) oligomers. Root mean square deviation (in Å) of the position of the 16 phosphorus atoms. Values in brackets represent the maximum deviation observed between two phosphorous positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boc((mQQ^4)_n)TMSE</th>
<th>Boc((mQQ^4)_{16})OBn mol. 1</th>
<th>Boc((mQQ^4)_{16})OBn mol. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boc((mQQ^4)_8(mQQ^4)_8)OBn</td>
<td>0.702 (1.249)</td>
<td>0.996 (1.844)</td>
<td>1.224 (2.245)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc((mQQ^4)_8)OBn</td>
<td>1.043 (1.949)</td>
<td>1.298 (2.209)</td>
<td>1.144 (2.230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc((mQQ^4)_8)TMSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.074 (1.896)</td>
<td>1.127 (1.872)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc((mQQ^4)_8)OBn mol. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.153 (2.010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) N-terminal (first 16 units) segment of Boc\((mQQ^4)_{16}\)OBn \((S35)\)

\(b\) C-terminal segment (last 16 units) of Boc\((mQQ^4)_{16}\)OBn \((S35)\)

\(c\) Independent molecule 1 of the asymmetric unit of \(S31\)

\(d\) Independent molecule 2 of the asymmetric unit of \(S31\)
**Supplementary Table 7.** Full assignment of the $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts of ($^{m}$Q$^4$)$_4$ recorded at 2 mM in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate H$_2$O/D$_2$O (9:1 vol/vol) based on $^1$H, HMBC and HSQC spectra. Chemical shift values are expressed in ppm. Question marks indicate several unassigned resonances of C11 carbon atoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ring:</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>7.60, s</td>
<td>6.85, s</td>
<td>6.48, s</td>
<td>6.73, s</td>
<td>6.17, s</td>
<td>6.66, s</td>
<td>6.42, s</td>
<td>6.47, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>99.07</td>
<td>98.55</td>
<td>97.33</td>
<td>97.71</td>
<td>96.83</td>
<td>98.45</td>
<td>97.09</td>
<td>100.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>164.60</td>
<td>163.52</td>
<td>163.55</td>
<td>162.98</td>
<td>164.08</td>
<td>162.72</td>
<td>164.66</td>
<td>162.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>8.38, d, J = 8.1 Hz</td>
<td>7.43, d, J = 7.9 Hz</td>
<td>8.04, d, J = 7.8 Hz</td>
<td>7.12, d, J = 7.9 Hz</td>
<td>8.09, d, J = 8.0 Hz</td>
<td>7.08, t, J = 8.0 Hz</td>
<td>8.27, d, J = 7.9 Hz</td>
<td>7.53, d, J = 7.0 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>123.98</td>
<td>116.75</td>
<td>122.99</td>
<td>116.43</td>
<td>122.96</td>
<td>116.01</td>
<td>122.99</td>
<td>117.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>127.47</td>
<td>127.34</td>
<td>127.46</td>
<td>127.30</td>
<td>127.09</td>
<td>127.57</td>
<td>126.55</td>
<td>126.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>7.34, t, J = 7.4 Hz</td>
<td>7.07, d, J = 6.5 Hz</td>
<td>7.44, t, J = 7.5 Hz</td>
<td>6.83, t, J = 6.1 Hz</td>
<td>7.61, t, J = 7.2 Hz</td>
<td>6.81, t, J = 6.1 Hz</td>
<td>7.75, t, J = 7.5 Hz</td>
<td>7.20, d, J = 7.5 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>132.28</td>
<td>117.34</td>
<td>131.37</td>
<td>116.87</td>
<td>131.97</td>
<td>116.03</td>
<td>132.08</td>
<td>116.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>127.81</td>
<td>131.56</td>
<td>129.84</td>
<td>130.99</td>
<td>131.78</td>
<td>131.97</td>
<td>134.33</td>
<td>132.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>143.55</td>
<td>137.37</td>
<td>144.55</td>
<td>136.07</td>
<td>145.55</td>
<td>136.49</td>
<td>146.73</td>
<td>138.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>121.63</td>
<td>120.59</td>
<td>121.40</td>
<td>119.33</td>
<td>121.60</td>
<td>119.51</td>
<td>122.35</td>
<td>120.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>166.52</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>165.22</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>166.48</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12a</td>
<td>4.49, brs</td>
<td>4.06, m</td>
<td>4.15, t, J = 11.1 Hz</td>
<td>4.11, m</td>
<td>3.95; m</td>
<td>3.99, m</td>
<td>3.74, m</td>
<td>3.71, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12b</td>
<td>4.35, t, J = 10.1 Hz</td>
<td>4.06, m</td>
<td>4.87, t, J = 10.2 Hz</td>
<td>4.08, m</td>
<td>3.59, m</td>
<td>3.99, m</td>
<td>3.69, m</td>
<td>3.71, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>66.31, d, J= c=144 Hz</td>
<td>65.77, d, J= c=139 Hz</td>
<td>65.21, d, J= c=157 Hz</td>
<td>65.57, d, J= c=144 Hz</td>
<td>65.21, d, J= c=157 Hz</td>
<td>65.42, d, J= c=141 Hz</td>
<td>65.43, d, J= c=158 Hz</td>
<td>65.43, d, J= c=158 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H13a</td>
<td>3.78, m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.78, m</td>
<td>3.58, m</td>
<td>3.58, m</td>
<td>3.58, m</td>
<td>5.06, t, J = 12.8 Hz</td>
<td>5.01, brs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H13b</td>
<td>2.59, m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.56, m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.04, m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.06, t, J = 12.8 Hz</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>39.38</td>
<td>43.48</td>
<td>42.89</td>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>43.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>11.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplementary Table 8.** Structural features of selected polyanions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polyanion</th>
<th>Major repeating unit</th>
<th>Molecular weight (16 negative charges)</th>
<th>Average molecular weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEPARIN</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graphic of Heparin" /></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 2661.52</td>
<td>13000-15000 Da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 665.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DERMATAN SULPHATE</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graphic of Dermatan Sulfate" /></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 4138.96</td>
<td>30000 Da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 517.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPARAN SULPHATE</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graphic of Heparan Sulfate" /></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 3228.69</td>
<td>41000 Da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 605.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLY-PHOSPHATE</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graphic of Poly-Phosphate" /></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 1631.36</td>
<td>11500 Da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 101.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((^\text{mQ}^\text{m})_\text{n}) oligomer</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graphic of Oligomer" /></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 4885.54</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 4885.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random DNA 8bp</td>
<td>Mixture of random sequences</td>
<td>Molecular Weight: 5280</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2 Supplementary Figures

2.1 Synthetic Schemes

Supplementary Figure 1. Preparation of mQ monomer S5.

Supplementary Figure 2. Preparation of Q4 monomer S10.
Supplementary Figure 3. Preparation of Q^2 monomer S19.

Supplementary Figure 4. Preparation of dimer-acid S22 and dimer S23.
Supplementary Figure 5. Coupling strategy and deprotection for \((^mQQ^n)\) oligomers.

Supplementary Figure 6. Preparation of dimer S36.

Supplementary Figure 7. Coupling strategy and deprotection for \((^mQQ^n)\) oligomers.
Supplementary Figure 8. Deprotection of \((mQQ^4)_n\) oligomers and \((mQQ^5)_n\) oligomers.

Supplementary Figure 9. Synthesis of foldamer-nucleotide conjugate, \((mQQ^4)_8\)-dT.
Supplementary Figure 10. Synthesis of biotin-oligomer conjugates, biotin-(mQQ)^4 and biotin-(mQQ)^8.

Supplementary Figure 11. Preparation of model phosphonate monomers S52 and S53.

2.2 Principles of Folding

Supplementary Figure 12. Folding pattern of aza-aromatic oligoamides. Conjugation, hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) and electrostatic repulsions (double headed-arrows) concur to stabilise a preferred conformation of each aryl-amide linkages, resulting in a bent conformation that gives rise to a helix. Intramolecular π–π stacking comes as an additional, solvent dependent, force stabilizing the helix.
2.3 Solid state X-Ray Crystallography

Supplementary Figure 13. Helically folded molecular structures of fully protected \((\text{"QQ"})_n\) foldamers in the solid state as revealed by single crystal x-ray diffraction. Main chains are shown in tube representation (carbon = grey, oxygen = red, nitrogen = blue). Phosphonate groups of the side chains are shown in CPK representation (phosphorus = purple). Hydrogen atoms and ethyl groups of the phosphonate esters have been omitted for clarity. a, Boc\((\text{"QQ"})_8\)OBn (S42). b, the first independent molecule of the structure of Boc\((\text{"QQ"})_8\)OBn (S31). c, the second independent molecule of Boc\((\text{"QQ"})_8\)OBn (S31). d, Boc\((\text{"QQ"})_8\)TMSE. e, Boc\((\text{"QQ"})_{16}\)OBn (S35). The structures show a slight stretching of the helices in the solid state as length increases. For example, the \((\text{"QQ"})_{16}\) helix is a little longer than twice the length of \((\text{"QQ"})_8\).
Supplementary Figure 14. Packing of fully protected Boc\(^{(\text{mQQ}^4)}\)\(_{16}\)OBn (S35) in the solid state showing the linear arrangement of contiguous helices. 

**a,** Head-to-head π-π stacking of the C-terminal rings of two fully protected Boc\(^{(\text{mQQ}^4)}\)\(_{16}\)OBn helices (inter-aromatic distance = 3.32 Å). 

**b,** Linear array of four helices. Contacts between helices at their N-termini involve π-π stacking and hydrogen bonding between the carbamate NH protons of each helix and an amide carbonyl of the other helix. Helix handedness inverts at each helix-helix contact.
**Supplementary Figure 15.** Packing of fully protected Boc(\(^{4}\)QQ)\(_{16}\)OBn (S35) in the crystal viewed along the [1 1 2] direction showing the planar arrangement of the rod-like helices. Distances are between the helix axes of neighbor helices in the packing.

**Supplementary Figure 16.** Side-by-side comparison of the structure of fully protected Boc(\(^{4}\)QQ)\(_{16}\)OBn (S35) (a,c) and of an idealised 16-base pair B-DNA (b,d) shown at the same scale. a, b side views; c, d top views.
**Supplementary Figure 17.** Overlays of the crystal structure of fully protected Boc\(\text{mQQ}^4\)\textsubscript{16}OBn (S35) with 16-base pair B-DNA. \textbf{a,} Overlay minimizing the distances between all 32 \textsuperscript{\textit{m}}Q and \textit{Q}\textsuperscript{4} phosphorus atoms (shown as red spheres and blue spheres, respectively), and the corresponding phosphorus atoms in DNA (grey spheres). The RMSD is 2.840 Å and the maximum deviation observed between two phosphorous atom positions is 5.836 Å. This figure shows a good match in the centre of the sequence and an increasing mismatch towards the ends. \textbf{b,} Overlay minimizing the distances between the 16 \textsuperscript{\textit{m}}Q phosphorus atoms (red spheres) and the corresponding 16 phosphorus atoms in DNA (grey spheres). The figure shows that the phosphorus atoms of \textit{Q}\textsuperscript{4} units (blue spheres) lie in the major groove of DNA as a consequence of groove width mismatch between the two structures.

**Supplementary Figure 18.** Overlay of the x-ray structures of \((\text{mQQ}^4)\textsubscript{n}\) foldamers showing structure variations (see Table S6 for RSMD values). \textbf{a,} Overlay the N-terminal segment of fully protected Boc\(\text{mQQ}^4\)\textsubscript{16}OBn (S35) with the structure of fully protected Boc\(\text{mQQ}^4\)\textsubscript{8}TMSE showing a good match. \textbf{b,} Overlay of the C-terminal segment of fully protected Boc\(\text{mQQ}^4\)\textsubscript{16}OBn and one of the two independent molecules of the structure of fully protected Boc\(\text{mQQ}^4\)\textsubscript{8}OBn (S31) showing significant differences between the two structures and highlighting conformational variations available to the foldamers. Phosphorus atoms are shown as spheres. Other parts of the molecules are shown in tube representation.
Supplementary Figure 19. Variations of the position of phosphonate groups in the crystal structure of fully protected Boc(mQQ)₄OBn (S42). a, Largest observed deviations of the phosphorous atom of side chains in position 4 of mQ monomers above and below the plane of quinoline rings. b, Largest observed deviations of the phosphorous atom of side chains in position 5 of Q⁵ monomers above and below the plane of quinoline rings. The noticeable difference between mQ and Q⁵ monomers arises from the different connecting groups (-OCH₂- vs. -CH₂CH₂) between phosphonates and quinoline rings. For mQ monomers (-OCH₂-connection), an ether oxygen in position 4 consistently favors a coplanar arrangement in which the side chain methylene is found in or near the plane of the quinoline ring. This conformation is disfavored in Q⁵ monomers (-CH₂CH₂- connection), as it would result in a steric clash between methylene protons and the aryl proton in position 4. Consequently, side chains of Q⁵ monomers extend out of the plane of quinoline rings.
Supplementary Figure 20. Oscillation of the foldamer backbones around the helix axis in crystal structures. The helix axis is represented as the best line through the nitrogen atoms of the backbone. Grey spheres correspond to the centroids between pairs of adjacent phosphorus atoms. a, In Boc(mQQ)b16OBn (S35) about 10 phosphorus pairs span a helix turn. b, in the first independent molecule of Boc(mQQ)b16OBn (S31), about 12 phosphorus pairs span a helix turn. c, in the second independent molecule of Boc(mQQ)b16OBn (S31), about 12 phosphorus pairs span a helix turn. d, in Boc(mQQ)b16TMSE, about 10 phosphorus pairs span a helix turn. e, in Boc(mQQ)b4OBn (S42). RMSD values are defined as the root mean square deviation of the centroids position from the foldamer axis. The shorter RMSD value and fewer units per turn in the case of Boc(mQQ)b16OBn (S35) reflects a slight elongation (stretching) of this helix as compared to the others.
2.4 Solution spectroscopic structural analysis

Supplementary Figure 21. Parts of $^1$H NMR spectra of fully protected Boc($^mQQ^4$)$_2$OBn, Boc($^mQQ^4$)$_4$OBn, Boc($^mQQ^4$)$_8$OBn, Boc($^mQQ^4$)$_{12}$OBn (300MHz) and Boc($^mQQ^4$)$_{16}$OBn (400 MHz), all recorded in CDCl$_3$. As an indication of folding, signals are spread over a wide chemical shift range despite the repetitive nature of the sequences. Upfield shifts resulting from ring current effects associated with intramolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking are more pronounced as helix length increases. The blue red and green lines delineate the chemical shift range of aromatic amine amide protons, aliphatic amine amide protons and aromatic protons, respectively.
Supplementary Figure 22. Parts of $^1$H NMR spectra of deprotected ($mmQmQ$)$_2$ (300 MHz), ($mmQmQ$)$_4$ (700 MHz), ($mmQmQ$)$_8$ (300 MHz), ($mmQmQ$)$_{12}$ (700 MHz) and ($mmQmQ$)$_{16}$ (700 MHz) all recorded at 2 mM in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate H$_2$O/D$_2$O (9:1 vol/vol). As an indication of folding, signals are spread over a wide chemical shift range despite the repetitive nature of the sequences. Upfield shifts resulting from ring current effects associated with intramolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking are more pronounced as helix length increases. Blue lines, red lines and green lines indicate the chemical range in which aromatic amine amide, aliphatic amine amide and aromatic protons are found, respectively.
Supplementary Figure 23. Excerpts of \(^1\)H-\(^1\)H COSY and \(^1\)H-\(^{13}\)C HSQC NMR spectra of a) \((\text{mQQ})_4\); b) \((\text{mQQ})_8\); c) \((\text{mQQ})_{16}\); d) fully protected Boc\((\text{mQQ})_4\)OBn \((\text{S28})\); and e) fully protected Boc\((\text{mQQ})_8\)OBn \((\text{S31})\). Spectra in a), b) and c) were recorded in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate \(\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{D}_2\text{O}\) (9:1 vol/vol). Spectra in d) and e) were recorded in \(\text{CDCl}_3\). In all cases diagonal suppression was applied. The spectra show strong anisochronicity of main chain benzylic (\(\text{CH}_2\)-aryl) protons of \(\text{mQ}\) monomers, marked with coloured circles, in helical conformations. Full assignment was carried out only for \((\text{mQQ})_4\) (a). Based on \(^{13}\)C chemical shift values in HSQC spectra, red dots can be assigned to the N-terminal benzylic position. The purple circles belong to the second \(\text{mQ}\) monomer from the C-terminus (ring E in \((\text{mQQ})_4\)). Benzylic protons of the first \(\text{mQ}\) monomer from the C-terminus (ring G in \((\text{mQQ})_4\)) do not show strong anisochronicity and are not labelled here (see supplementary Fig. 25, bottom part). Other benzylic protons are marked with green circles.
Supplementary Figure 24. Labelling conventions of the structure of \((^mQQ^4)_4\) used for assigning NMR spectra: a) monomer lettering convention from A to H starting from the N-terminus (note that in the main text rings A, B,…, H are coded with numbers 1, 2,…, 8); b) atom numbering.
Supplementary Figure 25. Excerpts of the 700 MHz HSQC spectrum of \((\text{mQQ})_4\) recorded at 2 mM in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate H$_2$O/D$_2$O (9:1 vol/vol) showing $^1$H-$^{13}$C correlations of aromatic protons.
Supplementary Figure 26. Parts of 700 MHz HMBC spectrum of \((mQQ^4)\), recorded at 2 mM in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate H$_2$O/D$_2$O (9:1 vol/vol) showing $^1$H-$^13$C correlations essential to the full assignment of the spectra.
Supplementary Figure 27. Graphical representation of HMBC $^1$H-$^{13}$C correlations used for the assignment of the spectra of ($^{mQ}Q^4$)$_4$.

Supplementary Figure 28. Part of the $^1$H-$^1$H 700 MHz NOESY spectrum of ($^{mQ}Q^4$)$_4$ (2 mM in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate in H$_2$O/D$_2$O (9:1 vol/vol)) recorded with an 800 ms mixing time showing dipolar couplings between amide protons and H13 protons. NOE correlations between $^{mQ}$ and Q$^4$ units are marked in orange; correlations between neighbouring protons within the same $^{mQ}$ or Q$^4$ unit are marked in grey and are not labelled; expected correlations that cannot be distinguished from overlapping and more intense correlations are marked in yellow and are not labelled.
Supplementary Figure 29. Part of the $^1$H-$^1$H 700 MHz NOESY spectrum of (m$^4$Q$^4$)$_4$ (2 mM in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate in H$_2$O/D$_2$O (9:1 vol/vol)) recorded with an 800 ms mixing time showing remarkable cross-peaks between main chain benzylic (H13), side chain (H12) and aromatic protons. NOE correlations within the same m$^4$Q or Q$^4$ unit are marked in yellow. NOE correlations between m$^4$Q and Q$^4$ units are marked in orange. On the F1 axis, labels of H12 signals of m$^4$Q or Q$^4$ units are coloured red and blue, respectively; labels of H13 are coloured green. Consistent with the crystal structures, Side chains H12 protons show strong correlations with the neighbour H3 proton and weak correlations with the H5 proton of the same ring, indicating a prevalent orientation of the side chain. We note that side chain H12 protons show strong anisochronicity in m$^4$Q units ($\Delta\delta = 0.14$-$0.33$ ppm for A,C,E) while anisochronicity is weak in Q$^4$ units ($\Delta\delta = 0$-$0.04$ ppm).
Supplementary Figure 30. Graphical representations of selected $^1$H-$^1$H NOESY correlations used for structure elucidation of ($^m$QQ)$^4$. Correlations are dispatched over two structures for clarity and colour coded as follows: **violet** – correlations between NH protons, **blue** – correlations involving H12 protons, **black** – correlations involving H13 protons, **green** – weak correlations, **red** – expected correlations that cannot be distinguished from overlapping correlations.

Supplementary Figure 31. Simplified tube representation of the helical structure of ($^m$QQ)$^4$ helix based on X-Ray structures highlighting the different chemical surroundings of diastereotopic benzylic H13 protons of $^m$Q units that result in strong anisochronicity. H13 protons belonging to units A, C and E are shielded by the next $^m$Q ring in the sequence, resulting in significant upfield shifts. This effect is more pronounced for H13b protons (in yellow) which are pointing directly towards the next $^m$Q ring than for the H13a protons (in green). As a result, signals of the former are found in the 2.5-3.0 ppm range whereas signals of the latter are found in the 3.5-3.8 ppm range. Protons H13a and H13b of $^m$Q unit G which is closest to the C-terminus of the sequence, have no $^m$Q unit above to shield them. Their signals are found near 5 ppm and show weak anisochronicity.
2.5 Mass Spectrometry analyses

**Supplementary Figure 32.** Comparison of the mass spectrometric profiles of equimolar mixtures of \((\text{mQQ})^n\) oligomers (top) and of oligo-deoxynucleotides of similar size (bottom) using Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionisation (MS) showing a sharper drop of desorption/ionization as a function of length for \((\text{mQQ})^n\) oligomers than for DNA leading to the inability to detect \((\text{mQQ})^4\), whereas this signal is easily detected when this molecule is analysed in the same mode but separately.
Supplementary Figure 33. Foldamer fragmentation during MALDI-MS analysis. MALDI causes a loss of the side chains of both protected and deprotected long oligomers. MALDI-MS spectra of fully protected \( (\text{mQQ})_8 \) (S31) and \( (\text{mQQ})_{16} \) (S35) (top), show peaks at 150 Dalton intervals, whereas MALDI-MS spectra of deprotected \( (\text{mQQ})_8 \) and \( (\text{mQQ})_{16} \) (bottom), show peaks at 94 Dalton intervals.

Supplementary Figure 34. Multicharged species observed by ESI-MS (anionic mode) of the deprotected oligomers. The example of \( (\text{mQQ})_8 \) is shown. No fragmentation of the side chains is observed.
Supplementary Figure 35. Multicharged species observed by HRMS ESI MS (anionic mode) of the biotin-oligomer conjugates, biotin-(mQQ\textsc{iv})\textsubscript{4} (a) and biotin-(mQQ\textsc{iv})\textsubscript{8} (b) after exchange of triethylammonium to ammonium cations.

2.6 pH titration curves

Supplementary Figure 36. pH titration curves of model phosphonate monomer S\textsc{53} (a) and phosphonate oligomer (mQQ\textsc{iv})\textsubscript{8} (b), showing a strong increase of the average value of the second pKa for the latter.
2.7 Biological investigations

**Supplementary Figure 37. Principle of in vitro HIV-1 concerted integration activity assay.** A radiolabeled 246 bp DNA donor is mixed with a 2,700 bp plasmid acceptor. Different products are obtained including half-site (HSI), circular and linear full-site (FSI) and donor/donor (d/d) integration.

**Supplementary Figure 38.** Effect of \((\mathrm{mQQ}^4)_8\), \((\mathrm{mQQ}^5)_8\) and 8mer single stranded and double stranded DNA on in vitro HIV-1 concerted integration activity. Increasing concentrations of compounds were added to a typical concerted integration assay. The reaction products were loaded onto 1 % agarose gel and the circular FSI + HSI and linear FSI products were quantified on gel using the ImageJ software. All the values are shown as the mean ± standard deviation (error bars) of at least three independent sets of experiments.
Supplementary Figure 39. Interaction between HIV-IN and T^{P32-}(mQQ^4)_8. Complexes formed between IN and T^{P32-}(mQQ^4)_8 were loaded on nitrocellulose filter. The retained product was determined by quantifying the radioactivity remaining on the membrane after filtration. Results are the mean ± standard deviation (error bars) of at least three independent sets of experiments.

Supplementary Figure 40. Effect of foldamers on NdeI and XhoI in vitro restriction activity. pUC19_SupF_NdeI plasmid DNA was incubated with 1 unit of NdeI or XhoI restriction enzyme and increasing amounts of foldamers and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. Reaction products were loaded on 1% agarose gel. The gel was stained using SybrSafe dye and the products were quantified using ImageJ software. Representative experiments are reported here.
Supplementary Figure 41. Effects of foldamers on human FEN1 in vitro flap cleavage activity. 
Fen1 substrate was incubated with 1 unit of human FEN1 and increasing concentrations of foldamers and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. Reaction products were loaded on 12% polyacrylamide denaturing gel (a). The gel was autoradiographed and the products were quantified using ImageJ software (b). A representative experiment is reported here.

Supplementary Figure 42. Inhibition of Top1-mediated relaxation of supercoiled pcDNA3.1 by (mQQ^4)_8 and (mQQ^5)_8. Top1 inhibition was expressed as a percentage of relaxation compared with that of control (enzyme alone). Results are the means of triplicate experiments ± standard deviation. The bar graphs shown on the left corresponds to the quantitation of the gels. Lane 1, control pcDNA; lane 2 control pcDNA + Top1; lane 3, pcDNA + Top1 + Camptothecin (CPT) 50µM; lanes 4 to 9, same as lane 2 + 1 µM, 250 nM, 100 nM, 50 nM, 10 nM or 5 nM of (mQQ^4)_8; lanes 10 to 15, same as lane 2 + 1 µM, 250 nM, 100 nM, 50 nM, 10 nM or 5 nM of (mQQ^5)_8.
**Supplementary Figure 43.** Inhibition of Top1-mediated relaxation of supercoiled pcDNA3.1 by ("mQQ")₈ and a random eight base-pair DNA double stranded sequence. The random DNA shows no inhibitory effect. On the contrary, Top-1 activity appears to be slightly enhanced.

**Supplementary Figure 44.** Effect of ("mQQ")₈ on S₁ Nuclease activity. The Nuclease S₁ enzyme from *Aspergillus oryzae* has the ability to degrade single-stranded (ss) oligonucleotides composed of either deoxynucleotides or ribonucleotides (DNA or RNA). Reactions used 5µg of single strand salmon sperm DNA, 2 units of S₁ Nuclease in the absence or presence of 30 µM, 20 µM and 10 µM of ("mQQ")₈. Lane 1, control ssDNA; lane 2, control ssDNA + S₁; lane 3, control ("mQQ")₈ 20µM; lanes 4 to 6, S₁ + 30 µM, 20 µM or 10 µM of ("mQQ")₈; lanes 7 to 9, ssDNA + 30 µM, 20 µM or 10 µM of ("mQQ")₈; lanes 10 to 13, ssDNA + S₁ + 30 µM, 20 µM or 10 µM of (mQQ₈); lane 13, S₁ inhibition by adding 0.5 M EDTA.
Supplementary Figure 45. Comparison of degradation of (dT)$_{16}$ and ($^{m}$QQ)$_{8}$ by Benzonase®. This enzyme attacks and degrades all forms of DNA and RNA (single stranded, double stranded, linear and circular). Reactions used 0.5 or 1µL of Benzonase in the presence of 1µg of ($^{m}$QQ)$_{8}$, 1µg of (dT)$_{16}$ or 1µg of genomic DNA. Lanes 1 to 3, 1µg of ($^{m}$QQ)$_{8}$ + 0, 0.5 or 1µL of Benzonase; lanes 4 to 6, (dT)$_{16}$ + 0, 0.5 or 1µL of Benzonase; lanes 7 and 8, genomic DNA + 0 and 1 µL of Benzonase.

Supplementary Figure 46. Impact of ($^{m}$QQ)$_{8}$ on DNase I activity (DNase I is a versatile enzyme that nonspecifically cleaves DNA to release 5'-phosphorylated di-, tri-, and oligonucleotide products). Reactions used 100 ng of double strand plasmid pcDNA3.1, 1 unit of DNase I in the absence or presence of 50 µM, 25 µM, 10 µM and 5 µM of ($^{m}$QQ)$_{8}$. Lane 1, control dsDNA; lane 2, control dsDNA + S1; lanes 3 to 6, pcDNA + 50 µM, 25 µM, 10 µM and 5 µM of ($^{m}$QQ)$_{8}$; lanes 7 to 10, pcDNA + DNase I + 50 µM, 25 µM, 10 µM and 5 µM of 16mer; lane 11, DNase I inhibition by adding 0.5 M EDTA; lane 12, control 16mer (25 µM); lanes 13 and 14, DNase I + 50 µM and 25 µM of ($^{m}$QQ)$_{8}$. 
Supplementary Figure 47. a, 12% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of DNA/HIV-IN complex captured by photo-induced cross-linking in presence of increasing amounts of ($^m$QQ)$_n$ foldamers. b, Quantitative assessment of the experiment shown in a. Results are the means of duplicate or triplicate experiments ± standard deviation.

Supplementary Figure 48. a, Inhibition of in vitro HIV-IN integration (200 nM of IN) of a radio labelled 246 bp viral DNA donor into a 2700 bp pBSK-Zeo plasmid acceptor by ($^m$QQ)$_4$ and ($^m$QQ)$_8$ oligomers assessed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. b, Quantitation of the inhibition of wild type HIV-IN (solid lines) and K236A DNA binding-deficient HIV-IN (dashed lines) by ($^m$QQ)$_4$ and ($^m$QQ)$_8$ oligomers expressed as a percentage of integration related to a control in the absence of inhibitors. Results are the means of duplicate or triplicate experiments ± standard deviation.

Supplementary Figure 49. a, Inhibition of in vitro HIV-IN integration (200 nM of IN) of a radio labelled 246 bp viral DNA donor into a 2700 bp pZeo plasmid acceptor by biotin-($^m$QQ)$_4$ and biotin-($^m$QQ)$_8$ oligomers assessed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. b, Quantitation of the inhibition of wild type HIV-IN (solid lines) and K236A DNA binding-deficient HIV-IN (dashed lines) by biotin-($^m$QQ)$_4$ and biotin-($^m$QQ)$_8$ oligomers expressed as a percentage of integration related to a control in the absence of inhibitors. Results are the means of duplicate or triplicate experiments ± standard deviation.
Pull down experiments

**Supplementary Figure 50.** a, 12% SDS-PAGE of HIV-IN and its mutant K236A showing reference protein bands (input) and bands of protein pulled-down by biotin-(mQQ₄)₈ coupled to streptavidin beads. Non specific binding of the protein on beads is also reported. b, Quantitation of the experiment shown in a reported as percentage of input retained on beads coupled to the foldamer. Results are the means of triplicate experiments ± standard deviation.

**Supplementary Figure 51.** a, 12% SDS-PAGE of purified recombinant proteins including DNA binding proteins (HIV-IN, its mutant Y227A and LEDGF/p75), an RNA binding protein (Ribonuclease A, RnaseA), a protein binding protein (proteinase K, PK), a broad range binder (ovalbumine) and a negative control (human carbonic anhydrase, HCA) showing reference protein bands (input) and bands of protein pulled-down by biotin-(mQQ₄)₈ on streptavidin beads or beads alone (non-specific binding). b, Quantitation of the experiment shown in a reported as percentage of input retained on beads coupled to the foldamer. Results are the means of duplicate or triplicate experiments ± standard deviation.
**Supplementary Figure 52.** a, 12% SDS-PAGE of RAD51 and its DNA binding-deficient mutants showing reference protein bands (input) and bands of protein pulled-down by biotin-\((^{m}QQ_{4})_{8}\) on streptavidin beads or beads alone (non-specific binding). b, Quantitation of the experiment shown in a reported as percentage of input retained on beads coupled to the foldamer. Results are the means of duplicate or triplicate experiments ± standard deviation.

**Supplementary Figure 53.** a, SDS-PAGE of pull down experiments using HIV-IN and either biotin-\((^{m}QQ_{4})_{8}\) or biotin-\((^{m}QQ_{4})_{4}\). b, Quantitation of the experiment shown in a reported as percentage of input retained on beads coupled to the foldamer. Results are the means of duplicate or triplicate experiments ± standard deviation.
Supplementary Figure 54 (1st part)
Supplementary Figure 54 (cont.). a, Molecular structures of DNA small ligands and DNA hairpin sequences. b-f, Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) sensorgrams of the interaction of netropsin, Hoechst 33258, actinomycin D and spermine with 5' biotin labeled DNA (hairpin 1 and hairpin 2) and biotin-(mQQ₄)₈. For the experiments, the analyte samples were prepared in the HBS-EP running buffer and injected at three concentrations (0.25, 0.5 and 1 µM) during 2 min at 20 µL/min.
3 Supplementary Methods

3.1 Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy

NMR spectra were recorded on four different NMR spectrometers: (1) an Avance II NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin) with a vertical 7.05T narrow-bore/ultrashield magnet operating at 300 MHz for $^1$H observation, 75 MHz for $^{13}$C observation and 121.4 MHz for $^{31}$P observation by means of a 5-mm direct BBO H/X probe with Z gradient capabilities; (2) a DPX-400 NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin) with a vertical 9.4T narrow-bore/ultrashield magnet operating at 400 MHz for $^1$H observation by means of a 5-mm direct QNP $^1$H/$^{13}$C/$^{31}$P probe with Z gradient capabilities; (3) an Avance III NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin) with a vertical 16.45T narrow-bore/ultrashield magnet operating at 700 MHz for $^1$H observation by means of a 5-mm TXI $^1$H/$^{13}$C probe with Z gradient capabilities; (4) an Avance III NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin) with an 18.8T narrow-bore magnet operating at 800 MHz for $^1$H observation and 200 MHz for $^{13}$C observation by means of a cryoprobe CP-TCI $^1$H/$^{13}$C probe with Z gradient capabilities. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm, δ) relative to the $^1$H residual signal of the deuterated solvent used. $^1$H NMR splitting patterns with observed first-order coupling are designated as singlet (s), broad singlet (bs), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), multiplet (m), doublet of doublet (dd), doublet of quartet (dq), doublet of triplet (dt) and triplet of doublet (td). Coupling constants ($J$) are reported in Hertz. Samples were degassed in the case of water soluble foldamers. Data processing was performed with Topspin 2.0 software (Bruker biospin). Complete assignment of deprotected ("QQ")$_4$ $^1$H and $^{13}$C nuclei (Supplementary Table S7) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate H$_2$O/D$_2$O (9:1 vol/vol) was achieved by using multi-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy involving a combination of 2D $^1$H,$^1$H-NOESY (800 ms mixing times), 2D $^1$H,$^1$H-COSY, 2D $^1$H,$^{13}$C-HSQC, and 2D $^1$H,$^{15}$N-HMBC.

3.2 Mass spectrometry

High resolution mass spectrometry analysis and exact mass measurements. Detection of compounds was performed using a hybrid quadrupole Orbitrap Q-Exactive mass spectrometer controlled by the Excalibur 2.2 Software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, USA). A heated electrospray ionization (HESI-II) source was used for ionization of the target compounds in positive and negative ion modes. Instrument calibration in positive mode and negative mode were done within 24 h to avoid mass shifts in detection respectively with a direct infusion of a LTQ Velos ESI Positive Ion Calibration Solution (88323, Thermo Scientific Pierce) or an ESI Negative Ion Calibration Solution (88324, Thermo Scientific Pierce). The key parameters were as follows: ionization voltage, +3.9 kV/−3.3 kV; sheath gas pressure, 6.0 arbitrary units; auxiliary gas, 6.0 arbitrary units and capillary temperature, 320°C. For the compounds of interest, a scan range of m/z 500–3000 was chosen. The data were acquired at a resolving power of 70 000 FWHM at m/z 200. The automatic gain control (AGC) target for a maximum capacity in C-trap was set at 1×10$^6$ ions for a maximum injection time of 50 ms.

Matrix assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS). A saturated solution of 2,4,6-trihydroxyacetophenone (THAP) matrix (Aldrich) was prepared in ethanol solution containing 3 mM ammonium hydroxide. Samples were mixed at equal volume with matrix solution before being loaded on the MALDI plate. Spectra were acquired in positive ion mode on a MALDI TOF–TOF mass spectrometer (Ultraflex III, Bruker, Bremen, Germany) with IS1 set to 20 kV, IS2 to 17.05 kV, lens to 7.5 kV, reflector 1 to 21 kV and reflector 2 to 11 kV. The laser was set to an energy level of 30–50% on the instrument scale.
3.3 X-ray crystallography

**Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies.** Crystallographic data for fully protected Boc(mQQ$^5$)$_8$OBn, Boc(mQQ$^7$)$_8$OBn, and Boc(mQQ$^4$)$_8$OTMSE were collected at the IECB X-ray facility (UMS 3033–UMS001). Data were collected at the copper $\kappa$ radiation ($Cu\kappa = 1.5418 \text{ Å}$) with a partial chi goniometer. Data for Boc(mQQ$^4$)$_8$OBn and Boc(mQQ$^4$)$_8$OTMSE were collected on a Rigaku MM007 rotating anode (0.8 kW) equipped with high flux Osmic Varimax mirrors and a RAPID SPIDER image plate detector. Data for Boc(mQQ$^4$)$_8$OBn were collected on a Rigaku FRX rotating anode (3.0 kW) equipped with a Pilatus pixel detector. Crystallographic data for fully protected Boc(mQQ$^4$)$_8$OBn were collected at ESRF facility (FIP BM30A) at a wavelength of 0.81Å. The Rigaku Crystal Clear suite was used to index and integrate the home source data with a multiscan absorption correction. Data collected at the synchrotron were processed with the XDS package (W. Kabsch, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D-Biol. Crystallogr.*, D66, 125–132 (2010)). Crystallographic data and refinement parameter are listed in Tables S1–S4.

All structures were solved by the dual space method using SHELXD (Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 64, 112–122 (2008)) refined by full-matrix least-squares methods using SHELXL2013, both softwares being found within the OLEX2 (Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J. Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341 (2009)) or SHELXL (Hübschle, C. B., Sheldrick G. M. & Dittrich, B. J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1281–1284 (2011)) packages. The SQUEEZE procedure implemented in PLATON (Spek, A. L. J. Appl. Cryst., 36, 7–13 (2003)) was used for all structures in order to treat the regions with highly disordered solvent molecules. The crystals of all four studied molecules never gave high-resolution diffraction patterns, which led to imprecise parts in the electron density map. Such behaviour imposed the utilisation of several constraints and restraints, which will be detailed in the following paragraphs. Moreover, due to the limited resolution of the data, some areas of the electron density maps could not be satisfactorily interpreted and some features of the structures of Boc(mQQ$^4$)$_8$ and Boc(mQQ$^4$)$_16$ molecules could not be extracted from the measured electron density maps. Especially, some position of ethyl groups of the phosphonate side chains could not be found because multiple positions exist and we decided to model and refine the side chains only when some electronic density could be extracted over the noise in the electron density maps. For example, the section of $F_o$ (blue) electron density maps contoured at the 2.0σ level superimposed to an mQ monomer (A) and a Q$^4$ monomer (B) of the structure of fully protected Boc(mQQ$^4$)$_16$OBn are shown below.

![A. sufficient electronic density over σ allows to extract positions of the side chain ethyl groups](image1.png)

![B. insufficient electronic density over σ does not allow to extract positions of the side chain ethyl groups](image2.png)

These refinements were performed with geometric limitations like SHELX DFIX and DANG restraints and, in some cases, with isotropic atomic displacement parameters. SHELX SIMU and DELU restraints were used in the refinement strategy, as listed in the cif files. These are restraints on Displacement Parameters that take into account that atoms that are bound to one another move
similarly, both in direction and amount. Such modelling is efficient at avoiding negative displacement parameters and thus well takes into account the measured electron density. The consequence of this strategy is a view of the side chains in an average position when the information is contained in the data, but side chains that showed too disordered areas and that therefore did not produce any signal above the noise of the electron density maps were squeezed out and do not appear in the model. In all cases where the geometry of the model allowed it, the positions of the H atoms were calculated from coordinates of the parent atoms and treated according to the riding model during refinement with isotropic displacement parameters, corresponding to 1.2 to 1.5 times the Ueq of the atom they are linked to. The side chain terminal methyl groups were refined, with idealised H atom positions (HFIX 33).

The best diffraction patterns were obtained for the Boc(“QQ”)_8OBn foldamer for which all non-H atoms could be modeled from the electron density map and refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters and no additional constraints or restraints except some bond and angle restrictions on side chains as described above.

Weaker diffraction patterns associated to larger asymmetric units led us to add more restraints and constraints for Boc(“QQ”)_8OBn, Boc(“QQ”)_8OTMSE and Boc(“QQ”)_16OBn foldamers. Constraints of type AFIX 116 were systematically applied for quinoline and methyl-quinoline residues to impose a regular and flat aromatic geometry. Additionally, some disordered side chains have been treated using the EADP constraints (equal atomic displacement parameters) to prevent unrealistic displacement parameters. Such restrictions are suitable when the diffraction pattern presents moderate resolution as it decreases the number of refined parameters that is very high for this kind of molecules. In some cases, significant disorder on sp³ carbon atoms did not allow to extract their positions from the electron density maps and these atoms were not included in the model as explained above. This feature has been observed on the ethyl groups of the phosphonate side chains especially for the Boc(“QQ”)_8OTMSE foldamer for which all ethyl groups of side chain have been removed. Finally, for the largest Boc(“QQ”)_16OBn foldamer some carbon atoms from O-C-PO₃Et moieties between P and O atoms of some residues were found highly disordered and no density was observed above the noise in the electronic density map. In such case the C atom position has been geometrically imposed according to the parent P and O positions and constrained with isotropic atomic displacement parameters corresponding to the same Ueq than the O atom they are linked to.

Applying this strategy gave a satisfactory representation of the main foldamer structure. In all cases where the structure allowed it, the positions of the atoms were well established (Supplementary Fig. 13). The asymmetric units of Boc(“QQ”)_8OBn, Boc(“QQ”)_8OTMSE and Boc(“QQ”)_16OBn were found to be constituted by one foldamer molecule while 2 independent molecules are present in the asymmetric unit of Boc(“QQ”)_8OBn. The crystal packing reveals that the cohesion of the network is ensured by π-π stacking between aromatic quinoline moieties leading to rod like arrangement in one direction, while the 2 other directions implies Van der Waals interactions and hydrogen bonding between side chains that may be mediated by some solvent molecules (Supplementary Figs. 14 and 15).

The Boc(“QQ”)_16 foldomer has been compared to an idealised 16-base pair B-DNA molecule showing a good match between the phosphonate network of both molecules, the main difference concerning the phosphorus atoms of Q₄ units due to a width mismatch of the major groove between the two structures (Supplementary Figs. 16 and 17, Supplementary Table 5). As several molecules of different sizes have been crystallised and solved, it was possible to compare and extract structural variation between the different molecules especially between different (“QQ”)_8 sequences from pseudo-polymorphs of (“QQ”)_8 foldamer and with both N-terminal and C-terminal (“QQ”)_8 parts of the largest (“QQ”)_16 (Supplementary Fig. 18 and 20, Supplementary Table 6). The structural variability of these compounds is more pronounced in the case of Boc(“QQ”)_8OBn, in particular regarding the position of the phosphonate groups. Because of the different connecting groups between phosphonates and quinoline rings (-OCH₂- vs. -CH₂CH₂-), larger deviations of the position
of the phosphonates from the quinoline ring to which they belong are observed in Q\textsuperscript{4} monomers (Supplementary Fig. 19).

The final cif files were checked using IUCR’s checkcif algorithm. Due to the characteristics of the crystals mentioned above (large volume fractions of disordered solvent molecules and side chains, weak diffraction intensity, incompleteness of the data and moderate resolution), and due to the choices made for refining some disorder, a number of A-level and B-level alerts remain in the checkcif files. These alerts are explicitly listed below and have been divided into two groups. They are inherent to the data and refinement procedures and do not reflect errors. Rather, they illustrate the limited practicality of the checkcif tool for medium sized molecule crystallography.

GROUP 1 ALERTS
- THETM01_ALERT_3_A/B : The value of sine(theta_max)/wavelength is less than expected
- PLAT088_ALERT_3_A : reasonable Data / parameter ratio
- PLAT026_ALERT_3_B : Check for weak data
- PLAT029_ALERT_3_A/B _diffn_measured_fraction_theta_full Low
- PLAT082/84_ALERT_3_A/B High R1 or wR2 Value
- PLAT911_ALERT_3_B Missing # FCF Refl Between THmin & STh/L= 0.600
- PLAT934_ALERT_3_A/B (Iobs-Icalc)/SigmaW > 10 for some reflections
- PLAT020_ALERT_3_B The value of Rint is greater than expected

All these alerts in fact point to the same and unique problem which is the overall weak quality of the data and refinement statistics if compared to that expected for small molecule structures from highly diffracting crystals. They are inherent to the best quality crystals that can be grown from large molecules. Large units cells, especially when several independent molecules are found in the asymmetric unit (for example for Boc(\textsuperscript{"QQ}Q\textsuperscript{4})\textsubscript{16}OBn), high fraction volume of disordered solvent and side chain content contribute to low average statistics. Data collection using synchrotron radiation (for Boc(\textsuperscript{"QQ}Q\textsuperscript{4})\textsubscript{16}OBn) brings the benefit of improving diffraction intensity and collecting at higher resolution, but it also has drawbacks such as radiation damage or beamline data collection geometry which may preclude to collect at high \(\theta_{\text{max}}\) values and which also limits data completeness as most beam lines are equipped with a single axis goniometer.

GROUP 2 ALERTS
- PLAT201_ALERT_2_A/B : Test for Isotropic non-H Atoms in Main Residue(s)
- PLAT213_ALERT_2_A / B : Test ratio adp max/min
- PLAT222_ALERT_3_A : Uiso(max)/Uiso(Min) range for H atoms in non-solvent
- PLAT234_ALERT_3_A and B : Hirshfeld Rigid-Bond Test
- PLAT360_ALERT_2_B : short or long C – C bond (Angstrom Difference)
- PLAT241_ALERT_2_B : unusually high U(eq) as compared with bonded neighbors
- PLAT242_ALERT_2_B : unusually Low U(eq) as compared with bonded neighbors
- PLAT230_ALERT_2_B :Hirshfeld Rigid-Bond Test
- PLAT340_ALERT_3_B : Bond Precision for C-C in Light Atom Structures
- PLAT410_ALERT_2_A : Test for short non-bonding intra H..H contacts
- PLAT417_ALERT_2_A and B : Test for short non-bonding inter D-H..H-D contacts

These A and B alerts are related to geometrical and atomic displacement parameters problems, all concerning side chains, that result from the way these have been treated (see above) when they have not been squeezed out. Many of these alerts are redundant: for example, a “unusually high U(eq) as compared with bonded neighbors” generally goes with one or several “unusually low U(eq) as compared with bonded neighbors”.
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3.4 pKas measurement of phosphonate oligomers

With the aim of investigating the charge state of the phosphonate oligomers at the neutral pH required for biological assays, we have synthesized model phosphonate monomer S53 which represents a simple system for the evaluation of pKa values of a single phosphonic acid to be compared with a molecule bearing several phosphonic acids. Compound S53 (25 mM) was titrated with NaOH (at a concentration of 250 mM) and pH values were recorded after stirring the solution for 3 min using a Consort C830 pH meter. Supplementary Figure 36a shows the titration curve where two equivalence points are clearly visible and two pKas can be measured at 1.65 and 6.28. This data are in agreement with literature reports (S. Iyer et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 14, 5931-5935 (2004); C. U. Kim et al., J. Med. Chem. 33, 1207-1213 (1990)) where pKa2 values of several arylxoyethyl phosphonic acids have been estimated.

Similarly, the acidic form of ("QQ")₈ was obtained after passing it on an ion exchange column and titrated with NaOH as shown in Supplementary Figure 36b. The proximity between the 16 phosphonate groups results in a different pattern. The first pKa is raised to an average 2.4 and the second pKa covers a range of values centred above 8.5. Obviously, the increasing negative charge density at the surface of the helix progressively shifts pKa values making further deprotonation more difficult than in a monophosphonic acid acid such as compound S53. The actual charge state at pH 7 is thus not -32. The phosphonate nevertheless retain their capacity to undergo double deprotonation upon binding to a DNA-binding protein.

3.5 Surface plasmon resonance (SPR)

Binding experiments were performed to determine whether the foldamers could be recognized by small molecule ligands of double stranded DNA. SPR experiments were performed at 25°C with a Biacore™ T200 apparatus (Biacore™, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden). The experiments were performed on CM5 S Series sensor chips (Biacore™) coated with 2900-3300 resonance units (RU) of streptavidin (Roche Applied Sciences, Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France) using the Biacore™ amine-coupling kit and milliQ water in running buffer. 100-200 RU of 5’ biotinylated DNA hairpin sequences (biotin-CGAATTCGTCTCGAATTCG and biotin-AAAGCTTTGTAAAGCTTT, with the hairpin loop sequence underlined, ChemGenes Corporation, Wilmington, MA USA) and biotin-("QQ")₈ were immobilized by injecting solutions prepared at 25-100 nM in HBS-EP (Biacore™) running buffer. One flow-cell was left blank and used as a reference. For the experiments, the analyte samples (DNA small ligands as netropsin, Hoechst 33258, actinomycin D, ethidium bromide and spermine, from Sigma Aldrich) were dissolved in the running buffer and injected at three concentrations (0.25, 0.5 and 1 µM) during 2 min at 20 µL/min. The regeneration of the functionalized surface was achieved with a 30 sec pulse of 25 mM NaOH. The sensorgrams were double-referenced using BiaEval 4.1 (Biacore™). No point were removed.

3.6 Biological assays

Top1-catalyzed relaxation assays. Top1 catalytic activity was assessed in plasmid DNA relaxation assays according to the specifications of the supplier (Topogen, Colombus, OH) with minor modifications. For each reaction, 100 ng of (-)-pcDNA3.1 supercoiled plasmid was incubated with 1 unit of purified human recombinant Top1 in 1X reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% bovine serum albumin, 0.1 mM spermidine, 5% glycerol). Reactions were performed in 10 µL final volume for 15 min at 20 °C and stopped by the addition of 1 µL of 5% SDS and 3 µL of BlueJuice™ Gel Loading Buffer (Life Technologies, Saint-Aubin, France). Reaction mixtures were then electrophoresed in 1% agarose gels for 3 h at 75 Volts in 1X TAE buffer. Gels were then incubated in 1X TAE staining solution containing 2 µg/mL ethidium bromide and destained by incubation in fresh 1X TAE buffer containing 1mM
MgSO₄ for 30 min. Reaction products were then visualised by UV-transillumination and supercoiled DNA was quantified using image J and plotted as a function of foldamer concentration.

**Top2-catalyzed decatenation assays.** Top2 catalytic activity was assessed by the decatenation assay as described by the supplier (Topogen, Columbus). Each reaction was performed in 20 µL final volume containing 200 ng of catenated kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) from Trypanosoma (Topogen, Columbus, OH) as a substrate, 40mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 100 mM KCl, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, and 1 mM ATP. Reactions were initiated by the addition of kDNA and 1 unit of purified human Top2α and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Reactions were stopped by the addition of 2 µL of 10X stop buffer (5% sarkosyl, 30% glycerol and 0.125 mg/ml of bromophenol blue) and electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel for 45 min at 50 V. Visualization and quantification of open circular (OC) and closed circular (CC) reaction products were performed as described for Top1 assays.

**HIV-IN-catalyzed integration assays.** HIV-1 integrase was purified either from bacteria using the same procedure as described for PFV enzyme (Valkov, E., Gupta, S. S., Hare, S., Helander, A., Roversi, P., McClure, M. & Cherepanov, P. Functional and structural characterization of the integrase from the prototype foamy virus. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 243–255 (2009)) or from yeast as previously reported (Lesbats, P., Botbol, Y., Chevereau, G., Vaillant, C., Calmels, C., Arneodo, A., Andreola, M. L., Lavigne, M. & Parissi, V. Functional coupling between HIV-1 integrase and the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex for efficient in vitro integration into stable nucleosomes. *PLoS Pathog* 7, e1001280 (2011)). Both pBSK-Zeo target and HIV-1 donor DNA were described previously (Faure, A., Calmels, C., Desjobert, C., Castroviejo, M., Caumont-Sarcos, A., Tarrago-Litvak, L., Litvak, S., Parissi, V. HIV-1 integrase crosslinked oligomers are active in vitro. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, 977–986 (2005)). Standard concerted integration reactions were optimised from conditions described previously (Lesbats, P., Metifiot, M., Calmels, C., Baranova, S., Nevinsky, G., Andreola, M. L. & Parissi, V. In vitro initial attachment of HIV-1 integrase to viral ends: control of the DNA specific interaction by the oligomerization state. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, 7043–7058 (2008)) in order to allow efficient catalysis of full site integration reaction in absence of other cellular cofactors. For this purpose we used a 5'-end-labeled donor DNA (10 ng) and circular target DNA plasmids (50 ng) and purified INs (typically 200 nM) previously diluted into 1 µM in 1 M NaCl, 20 mM HEPES 1 M pH7, 10 mM DTT for 30 minutes on ice. Then 200 nM IN were incubated 30 minutes on ice with 10 ng of donor DNA and 50 ng of acceptor plasmid in 5 µL final volume. The reaction was then started by adding 5 µL of the reaction buffer (final concentrations 100 nM IN, 15 % DMSO, 8 % PEG, 10 mM MgCl₂, 20 µM ZnCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT). After the reaction, integration products were loaded onto a 1% agarose gel. The gel was then dried and autoradiographed. Quantification of the integration activity was performed using the Image J software with the following procedure: the bands corresponding to the free substrate (S), the donor/donor (d/d), linear FSI (FSI) and circular HSI+FSI (HSI+FSI) were quantified. The percentage of HSI+FSI integration activity was calculated as (HSI+FSI)/[(FSI)+(HSI+FSI)+(d/d)+(S)] x 100. Percentage of FSI integration activity was determined as (FSI)/[(FSI)+(HSI+FSI)+(d/d)+(S)] x 100. The global FSI activity was estimated from the amount of linear FSI products which has previously been shown to be representative of the circular FSI form.

**FEN1 activity assay.** Human FEN1 was purchased from Trevigen, Gaithersburg. FEN1 substrate was generated as follows: 100 pmoles of 5’ radiolabeled FODN (GGACTCTGCCTCAAGACGGTAGTCAACGTG) was hybridised to 100 pmoles of Flap ODN (GATGTCAAGCAGTCCTAACTTTGAGGCAGAGTCC) and 100 pmoles of Bridge ODN (CACGTTGACTACCGTC). The FEN1 substrate was incubated with 1 unit of FEN1 and increasing concentration of foldamer for 1 h at 37 °C in 15 % DMSO, 8 % PEG, 10 mM MgCl₂, 20 µM ZnCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT. Reaction products were precipitated after deproteinization, loaded on a 12 % polyacrylamide denaturing gel and separated for 3 h at 2000
Substrates were incubated during 1 h at 37 °C in PBS and incubated with 0, 0.1 or 1 µM of foldamer in serum assays, 1 atmosphere in RPMI and DMEM media supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum. For cytotoxicity embryonic kidney cells were purchased from ATCC and were respectively grown under a 5% CO2 atmosphere in RPMI and DMEM media supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum. Effects of foldamers direct gel staining with colloidal blue. which they were separated on a 12% gel via SDS interaction buffer, and the precipitated products were incubated at room temperature for 1h under rotation. The beads were washed three times with 300µl 1µg/ml BSA; with biotinylated 1994) the integrase protein of HIV type 1 each contribute to nonspecific DNA binding described (Engelman, A., Hickman, A. B., Craigie, R. The core and carboxy-terminal domains of the integrase protein of HIV type 1 each contribute to nonspecific DNA binding. J. Virol. 68, 5911 (1994)).

Benzonase® DNA-cleavage assay. Following the specifications of the supplier, benzonase degradation assay was carried out on 16T and ("QQ")8. Reactions used 0.5 or 1 µL of Benzonase (Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Louis Missouri, USA) in the presence of 1µg of ("QQ")8, 1 µg of poly(dt10) (Sigma Aldrich) or 1µg of genomic DNA. Substrates were incubated during 1 h at 37 °C in Benzonase reaction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 0.2 mM MgCl2, 20 mM NaCl) and reactions were stopped by freezing samples at -80 °C. Samples were loaded on 15% acrylamide gel and stained after migration by « Stains All ».

Nuclease S1 activity. The inhibition of Nuclease S1 activity by ("QQ")8 was tested based on a method developed according to the specifications of the supplier. Reactions used 5µg of single stranded salmon sperm DNA, 2 units of S1 Nuclease (Sigma Aldrich) in the absence or presence of 30 µM, 20 µM and 10 µM of ("QQ")8. Substrates were incubated for 30 min at 37 °C in S1 Nuclease reaction buffer (40 mM sodium acetate pH 4.5, 0.3 M NaCl and 2 mM ZnSO4) and reactions were stopped by adding 1 µL of 0.5 M EDTA and heating at 70 °C for 10 min. Samples were loaded on 5% PAGE and electrophoresed. Migration was done in TAE 1X during 30 min at 35 mA. Gel was stained by « Stains All » during 30 min and destained in water under UV light.

DNase 1 assay. The inhibition of enzymatic activity of DNAse 1 by ("QQ")8 was tested according to the specifications of the supplier. Reactions used 100 ng of double stranded plasmid pcDNA3.1, 1 unit of Dnase I (Thermo Scientific) in the absence or presence of 50 µM, 25 µM, 10 µM and 5 µM of ("QQ")8. Substrates were incubated for 10 min at 37 °C in DNAse I reaction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 2 mM MgCl2) and reactions were stopped by adding 1 µL of 0.5 M EDTA and heating at 70 °C for 10 min. Samples were loaded on 1% agarose gel containing Ethidium bromide or on 5% acrylamide gel and stained after migration by « Stains All ».

Gel shift and filter binding assays. dT-("QQ")8 was synthetised as described in section 2. It was radiolabeled into dT\(^{\text{P}32}\)-("QQ")8 using 25µci of gamma ATP and 1 unit of T4 DNA kinase for 1 h at 37 °C. HIV1-IN (0-175 pmole) was incubated 20 minutes at room temperature with 1 pmole of radiolabeled T\(^{\text{P}32}\)-("QQ")8, or a short double stranded 8-mer ODN containing the U3 viral ends sequence or a short double stranded 8-mer ODN containing a randomised sequence in 15 % DMSO, 8 % PEG, 10 mM MgCl2, 20 µM ZnCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT. Reaction mixtures were then either loaded on non-denaturating 12% polyacrylamide gel and electrophoresed for 6 h at 500 volts or filtered on nitrocellulose filters. After migration, the gel was autoradiographed. The filters were washed three times with PBS and counted using a Wallac 1409 liquid scintillation counter.

DNA/HIV-IN complex quantification by UV cross-linking was carried out as previously described (Engelman, A., Hickman, A. B., Craigie, R. The core and carboxy-terminal domains of the integrase protein of HIV type 1 each contribute to nonspecific DNA binding. J. Virol. 68, 5911 (1994)).

Pull-down experiments. Purified recombinant proteins (3 µL of a 4 µM solution) were incubated with biotinylated foldamers (65-262 pmoles) in 10µL of interaction buffer (50mM HEPES, pH7.5; 1µg/ml BSA; 1mM DTT; 0.1% Tween 20; 10% glycerol; and 50 to 240mM NaCl) for 20min on ice and then for 30min at room temperature. A 12.5µL aliquot of DynabeadsMyOne Streptavidin T1 (Invitrogen, ref. 65601) was then added to a total volume of 300µL interaction buffer and incubated at room temperature for 1h under rotation. The beads were washed three times with 300µL interaction buffer, and the precipitated products were resuspended in 10µl of Laemmli buffer, after which they were separated on a 12% gel via SDS-PAGE. Interacting proteins were detected by direct gel staining with colloidal blue.

Effects of foldamers on cell growth. Human HCT116 colon cancer cells or HEK293 human embryonic kidney cells were purchased from ATCC and were respectively grown under a 5% CO2 atmosphere in RPMI and DMEM media supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum. For cytotoxicity assays, 1,500 cells were seeded per well of a 96-well plate. The day after, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with 0, 0.1 or 1 µM of foldamer in serum-free medium. When assessing the
effect of a transfection reagent, PULSin™ (Ozyme, Saint Quentin Yvelines, France) was added (1.2 µL per well) together with the foldamer. Incubation typically lasted 4h. The medium was then replaced by fresh complete medium and incubated for 72 h and cell growth was measured using the Sulforhodamine B assay according to standard procedures.

**Assessment of HIV-1 infectivity inhibition.** Human Embryonic Kidney cells (HEK293T) were used for HIV-1 infectivity inhibition. Lentiviral transductions were performed using pRRLsin-PGK-eGFP-WPRE VSV-G pseudotyped lentiviral vectors derived from HIV-1 as previously described (Cosnefroy, O., Tocco, A., Lesbats, P., Thierry, S., Calmels, C., Wiktorowicz, T. Stimulation of the human RAD51 nucleofilament restricts HIV-1 integration in vitro and in infected cells. *J. Virol.*, 86, 513–256 (2012)) in the presence of increasing concentrations of foldamer. An optimized multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 was used, which resulted in 25-35% of the cells containing one copy of proviral DNA as determined before. Fluorescence was quantified 10 days post-transduction by counting 10,000 cells on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). HIV-1 DNA species were quantified at 24, 48 and 72 hours post-transduction as previously described (Munir, S., Thierry, S., Subra, F., Deprez, E. & Delelis, O. Quantitative analysis of the time-course of viral DNA forms during the HIV-1 life cycle. *Retrovirology*, 10, 87 (2013)). The total and integrated HIV-1 DNA levels were determined as copy numbers per 10⁶ cells. Integrated cDNA and 2-LTR circles were expressed as a percentage of the total viral DNA.

### 3.7 Polyanions sample preparation

Sample preparation of the polyanions tested for Top1 and HIV integrase inhibition proceeded as follows. Heparin was purchased from SERVA Electrophoresis GmbH (lot No. 160563), dermatan sulphate from SERVA Electrophoresis GmbH (lot No. 090821), heparan sulphate from Iduron (lot No. 1 BN1), and polyphosphate medium side chain (from Kerafast, lot No. 20141104a). Contrary to the foldamers, these polymers represent mixtures of various chains with undefined length, composition, and number of negative charges. In order to compare and possibly quantify their inhibition activities, we have considered an average molecular weight that corresponds to an expected average 16 negative charges. For the composition of polysaccharides (heparin, dermatan sulphate, and heparan sulphate), the most common disaccharide unit was considered and variations were neglected. The same concentration of this segment bearing 16 negative charges was used for all the samples. The formula and molecular weights are in Supplementary Table 8.
3.8 Methods for chemical synthesis

All reactions that required anhydrous conditions were carried out under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa-Aesar and were used without further purification unless otherwise specified. Commercially available diethyl (hydroxymethyl)phosphonate was purified by flash chromatography with EtOAc as an eluent. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dichloromethane (DCM) were dried over alumina columns; chloroform, triethylamine and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) were distilled over calcium hydride (CaH₂) prior to use. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on Merck silica gel 60-F254 plates and observed under UV light. Column chromatography purifications were carried out on Merck GEDURAN Si60 (40-63 µm). HPLC-quality acetonitrile (CH₃CN) and MilliQ water were used for reverse phase HPLC analyses and purification. Two different approaches were used depending on the compounds to be analyzed. Purity of protected oligomers and completeness of C and N termini deprotection was performed on JASCO AS-2055 chromatography system with Nucleodur C8 column (Machery Nagel, 4.6 x 150 mm, 5 µm) using mobile phase composed of 0.1% (vol/vol) TFA in water (solvent A) and 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile (solvent B) and UV detection was carried out with multichannel UV/VIS detector JASCO UV-2077 at 214 nm, 254 nm and 300 nm. Completeness of phosphonate deprotection and purity of fully deprotected oligomers were checked on Varian Pursuit C18 column (4.6 x 250 mm, 5 µm) using a gradient of solvent A and solvent B that were prepared as follows: a stock 50 mM aqueous triethyl-ammonium acetate buffer solution at pH 8.7 was prepare by dissolving 3 mL of glacial acetic acid in 950 mL water and, while mixing, adding freshly distilled triethylamine (6.5 mL). After adjusting the pH to 8.7 with trimethylamine, the volume was finally adjusted to 1 L with water. Solvent A was prepared by dilution of the stock buffer solution with water 1:3 (vol/vol) to a final concentration of 12.5 mM triethyl-ammonium acetate, pH 8.7, in water. Solvent B was prepared by dilution of the stock buffer solution with acetonitrile 1:3 (vol/vol) to a final composition of 12.5 mM triethyl-ammonium acetate, pH 8.7, in water:acetonitrile 3:1 vol/vol. UV detection was carried out at 240 nm, 215 nm, and 254 nm using a diode array detector. Semi-preparative scale purification of water soluble oligomers were performed on a Varian Pursuit C18 column (21.4 x 250 mm, 5 µm) equipped with a precolumn, using a gradient of solvent A and solvent B prepared as above. UV detection was carried out at 240 nm.

3.8.1 Synthesis of monomers

Dimethyl 2-((2-cyanophenyl)amino)fumarate (S1). (N. D. Heindel, T. A. Brodof, J. E. Kogelschatz, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 3, 222 (1966)) To a solution of 2-cyanoaniline (41.5 g, 352 mmol) in 400 mL of methanol was added dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (50 g, 352 mmol). The flask was equipped with a reflux condenser and heated to reflux with stirring for 48 h. The solution was then cooled to room temperature and placed at +4 °C overnight, then at -18 °C for 24 h. The resulting crystals were collected by filtration, washed with cold methanol and dried under vacuum to yield the product S1 as a yellow crystalline solid (104.0 g, 76%). The filtrate was concentrated to 120 mL, seeded with crystals and placed at -18 °C for 24 h. A second batch of crystals was collected as above, yielding 15.1 g of product (11%). The total yield of yellow crystalline S1 was 119.1 g (87%). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.97 (s, 1H), 7.63 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55-
Methyl 8-cyano-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-2-carboxylate (S2). (N. D. Heindel, T. A. Brodof, J. E. Kogelschatz, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 3, 222 (1966)) In a 2 L round-bottomed flask, 1L of diphenyl ether was heated to boiling (260 °C). Fumarate S1 (50 g, 89 mmol) was added in portion wise over 1 min via a funnel. The mixture was further refluxed for about 12-15 min. When the reaction was completed (based on TLC), the flask was left to cool down to 50 °C. The mixture was then poured into 3 L of petroleum ether with shaking/stirring. The off-white solid that was formed was filtered and repeatedly washed with petroleum ether until TLC showed no more diphenyl ether to be present. After drying under reduced pressure, product S2 was obtained as an off-white solid (yield 75-85%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 9.28 (s, 1H), 8.58 (dd, $J = 8.2, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 8.02 (dd, $J = 7.5, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dd, $J = 8.4, 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 4.10 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 178.6, 162.4, 139.7, 137.5, 136.7, 132.0, 126.3, 123.9, 114.8, 113.3, 101.6, 54.2. HRMS calculated for C$_{12}$H$_7$N$_2$O$_3$: 227.0457 (M-H)$^-$; Found: 227.0454.

Benzyl 8-cyano-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-2-carboxylate (S3). To compound S2 (10.39 g, 45.6 mmol) were added benzyl alcohol (11.7 mL, 114 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) and triethylamine (12.6 mL, 114 mmol, 2.0 equiv.). The mixture was heated at 70 °C in an open flask overnight. When the reaction was complete, all volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. Co-evaporation was carried out twice with added MeCN, and then twice with added H$_2$O. The resulting semi-solid residue was suspended in 200 mL of ice-cold water and stirred for 45 min, then filtered and washed with ice-cold water. The solid was suspended in toluene and residual water was removed by co-evaporation with toluene under reduce to afford the title compound as an off-white solid (yield 55%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 9.30 (s, 1H), 8.59 (dd, $J = 8.2, 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.02 (dd, $J = 7.5, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.53-7.41 (m, 5H), 7.10 (d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.51 (s, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 178.3, 161.9, 139.6, 137.5, 136.8, 134.0, 132.0, 129.2, 128.9, 128.6, 126.3, 123.9, 114.8, 113.3, 101.6, 69.2. HRMS calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{11}$N$_2$O$_3$: 303.0775 (M-H)$^-$; Found: 303.0771.

Benzyl 8-cyano-4-((diethoxyphosphoryl)methoxy)quinoline-2-carboxylate (S4). Compound S3 (12.47 g, 41 mmol) was placed in a flask equipped with a stirring bar, and dried under vacuum for 30 min, then PPh$_3$ (11.79 g, 45 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added followed by dry THF (100 mL) and
diethyl (hydroxymethyl)phosphonate (6.46 mL, 45 mmol, 1.1 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C under nitrogen and DIAD (8.85 mL, 45 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added dropwise over 60 min at 0 °C. The reaction was then continued for 120 min at room temperature, and then at 50 °C for 16 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and THF was removed under reduced pressure yielding a brown viscous oil. DCM was added and the solution was washed with water (x3) and brine (x2). The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Crystallization from DCM/Et₂O afforded the title compound as a white solid (11.96 g, 76%).

\[
\begin{align*}
1^H \text{ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3 \delta & 8.52 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 8.22 (dd, J = 7.2, 1.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.70 (dd, J = 8.2, 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.63-7.57 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.33 (m, 3H), 5.55 (s, 2H), 4.58 (d, J = 10.3 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 4.30 (dq, J = 8.4, 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 4H), 1.40 (dt, J = 7.0, 0.2 \text{ Hz}, 5H). \\
13^C \text{ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3 \delta & 164.8, 162.6, 162.5, 151.2, 147.8, 136.9, 135.4, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 127.0, 126.8, 122.1, 116.6, 114.1, 102.3, 67.9, 63.9, 63.3, 63.2, 61.6, 16.6, 16.5. \\
31^P \text{ NMR (122 MHz, CDCl}_3 \delta & 17.00. \\
\text{HRMS calculated for C}_{23}H_{22}N_2O_6P: 453.1221 (M-H) -; Found: 453.1217. \\
\end{align*}
\]

**8-(((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-4-((diethoxyphosphoryl)methoxy) quinoline-2-carboxylic acid (S5).** Compound S4 (974 mg, 2.14 mmol), Boc₂O (1.5 equiv., 3.21 mmol, 700 mg) and freshly distilled Et₃N (1.5 equiv., 3.21 mmol, 438 µL) were dissolved in 30 mL of a 1:1 mixture of THF and methanol. To this, commercial Raney nickel (approximately 1 g of wet solid) was added and the mixture was degassed under moderate vacuum. Then, a hydrogen balloon was connected to the flask and the mixture vigorously stirred at 50 °C for 20 h. After cooling to room temperature, Pd/C (10%) (100 mg) was added, the mixture was degassed by applying vacuum for 1 min and a new hydrogen balloon connected. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h under H₂. Then it was diluted with 60 mL of DCM and passed through celite which was washed with DCM. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting yellow oil was dissolved in DCM and washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x2), followed by a 1:1 mixture of 5% aqueous citric acid and brine (x1), and finally with brine (x1). The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated. The resulting oil was mixed with cyclohexane and heated to boiling until an emulsion was formed. It was allowed to cool down to room temperature which resulted in a phase separation. The cyclohexane layer was discarded. This operation was repeated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of MeOH (2-10%) in DCM and finally crystallised from DCM/Et₂O yielding S5 as a white solid (yield 641 mg, 64%).

\[
\begin{align*}
1^H \text{ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3 \delta & 8.29 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.73 (br-d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.62 (dd, J = 7.7, 8.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.3 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 4.33 (dq, J = 8.3, 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 4H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.43 (t, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 6H). \\
13^C \text{ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3 \delta & 165.3, 163.5, 163.1, 156.3, 146.9, 145.5, 137.5, 130.9, 127.8, 122.3, 121.9, 99.3, 79.8, 63.7, 63.3, 63.2, 61.5, 41.7, 28.5, 16.6, 16.5. \\
31^P \text{ NMR (122 MHz, CDCl}_3 \delta & 17.50. \\
\text{HRMS calculated for C}_{21}H_{30}N_2O_8P: 469.1734 (M+H) +; Found: 469.1720. \\
\end{align*}
\]
8-Nitro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-2-carboxylic acid (S7). Compound S6 (18) (11.15 g, 44.9 mmol) was suspended in a mixture of THF (90 mL) and methanol (60 mL). To this, was added LiOH·H₂O (4.72 g, 112 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in MeOH (20 mL) and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at 40 °C for 1 h (completion was confirmed by TLC). Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the red/brown solid obtained was triturated with 2M HCl with vigorous stirring to pH 1–2. The solids were filtered and washed with sufficient amount of water. The residual water was removed by co-evaporation with toluene, then Et₂O. Acid S7 was obtained as a yellow solid (yield 97%) and used in the next step without further purification.

1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 11.63 (s, 1H), 8.72–8.64 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.55 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 176.7, 163.5, 139.95, 137.2, 134.3, 133.9, 131.2, 127.5, 123.6, 111.5.


2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 8-nitro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-2-carboxylate (S8). Acid S7 (9.3 g, 39.7 mmol) was suspended in 100 mL of dry DMF and 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethanol (6.80 mL, 47.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added in one portion with stirring. Then EDC (HCl salt, 9.13 g, 47.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature under N₂. Water (300 mL) was then added to the mixture with vigorous stirring and the reaction mixture was poured into 1000 mL of water and left stirring for 30 min. Solids were filtered and washed repeatedly with cold water. The solid was suspended in toluene and residual water was removed by co-evaporation of toluene, then Et₂O. The crude solid was dissolved in boiling acetone and the hot solution was filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a yellow solid (yield 90–92%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.81 (s, 1H), 8.75 (dd, J = 8.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dd, J = 8.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.74–4.44 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.08 (m, 2H), 0.13 (s, 9H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.8, 161.7, 137.8, 135.8, 135.0, 134.1, 131.1, 128.1, 122.7, 113.0, 66.4, 17.4, -1.5. HRMS calculated for C₁₅H₁₇N₂O₈Si: 333.0912 (M+H)⁺; Found: 333.0912.

2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 4-((diethoxyphosphoryl)methoxy)-8-nitroquinoline-2-carboxylate (S9) was obtained and purified according to the protocol used for S4 as a yellowish solid (yield 60–75%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.58 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 7.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.62–4.53 (m, 2H), 4.57 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 2H), (4.33 (dq, J = 8.1, 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.42 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 1.30 -1.22 (m, 2H), 0.16 (s, 9H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.7, 162.1, 161.96, 151.5, 148.4, 139.8, 125.8, 124.9, 122.6, 102.1, 64.8, 63.8, 63.2, 63.1, 61.6, 17.1, 16.5, 16.4, -1.52. 31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 16.95. HRMS calculated for C₂₀H₂₆N₂O₈PSi: 483.1358 (M-H)⁺; Found: 483.1356.
2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl 8-amino-4-(((diethoxyphosphoryl)methoxy) quinoline-2-carboxylate (S10). To compound S9 (7.28 g, 15 mmol) and ammonium metavanadate (0.1 equiv., 730 mg) in a flask, EtOAc (250 mL) was added followed by 10% Pd/C (730 mg). The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 16 h under H2. After filtration over celite, solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure, co-evaporated several times with Et2O and dried under vacuum. The title compound S10 obtained as a yellow solid was used for the next step without further purification (yield, 99%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (s, 2H), 4.83 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 2H), 4.54–4.44 (m, 2H), 4.19 (dq, J = 14.1, 7.0 Hz, 4H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.22–1.14 (m, 2H), 0.13 (s, 9H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 165.7, 162.1, 161.9, 146.1, 145.1, 138.4, 129.09, 122.5, 111.0, 109.3, 101.7, 64.3, 63.4, 63.2, 63.1, 61.2, 17.4, 16.6, 16.5, -1.4.

31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl3) δ 17.74.

HRMS calculated for C20H30N2O6PSi: 453.1616 (M-H)–; Found: 453.1612.

2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 4-bromo-8-nitroquinoline-2-carboxylate (S11). To a dry 250 mL round bottom flask containing compound S8 (9.68 g, 29 mmol) and a magnetic stirrer under N2, anhydrous DMF (120 mL) was added followed by POBr3 (12.48 g, 1.5 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 4 h with TLC monitoring. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was slowly poured into 1L of stirred ice-cold water. Still under stirring, 5% wt/vol aqueous NaHCO3 was added until pH 8 was reached. The mixture was allowed to stand for 15 min, then EtOAc was added and the product was extracted in the organic layer. The aqueous phase was extracted once more with EtOAc and the combined organic phases were washed with water (x4), brine (x1), dried over Na2SO4, and filtered. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and purification of the residue by silicagel chromatography eluting with cyclohexane:DCM 1:1→1:2 afforded compound S11 as a yellow/brownish solid (yield 91%). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.62 (s, 1H), 8.51 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd, J = 8.6, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.63 – 4.55 (m, 2H), 1.29 – 1.21 (m, 2H), 0.14 (s, 9H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 163.76, 149.93, 148.94, 139.41, 135.33, 130.56, 129.31, 128.42, 126.63, 125.05, 65.24, 17.29, -1.42. HRMS calculated for C15H17BrN2O4Si: 419.0033 (M+H)+; Found: 419.0028.

2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 8-aminoquinoline-2-carboxylate (S12). Compound S11 (9.65 g, 24.3 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of 2-propanol (150 mL) and THF (50 mL). To this, 10% Pd/C (960 mg) and Na2CO3 (2.58 g, 24.3 mmol, 1 equiv.) were added. The mixture was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 18 h under H2. The solution was filtered over celite, solvents were removed...
under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was dissolved in EtOAc. The solution was washed with water (x2) and the combined aqueous fractions were back extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (x2), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified on a short silica column using DCM as eluent yielding pure S12 as a red oil that slowly solidifies (yield 70%). The compound was used immediately for the next step without further purification. \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.18 (dd, \(J = 19.9, 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 7.46 (t, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 1H), 7.21 (dd, \(J = 8.2, 1.1\) Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, \(J = 7.6, 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.62-4.54 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.21 (m, 2H), 0.16 (s, 9H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.71, 145.37, 145.04, 137.53, 136.80, 130.01, 129.84, 121.11, 115.04, 110.15, 64.08, 17.37, -1.33. HRMS calculated for C₁₅H₂₀N₂O₂Na₂Si: 311.1186 (M+H)\(^+\); Found: 311.1184.

2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 8-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)quinoline-2-carboxylate (S13). Compound S12 (4.90 g, 17 mmol), Boc₂O (14.8 g, 68 mmol, 4 equiv.), DIPEA (4 11.9 mL, 68 mmol, 4 equiv.) were dissolved in 125 mL of 1,4-dioxane and the mixture was heated to reflux for 16 h. Then additional Boc₂O (7.4 g, 34 mmol, 2 equiv.) and DIPEA (6.0 mL, 34 mmol, 2 equiv.) were added and refluxing continued for 3 days until all the starting material was consumed (based on \(^1\)H-NMR spectra of aliquots). Solvents were then evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in DCM, washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x3), brine (x2), dried over Na₂SO₄ and filtered. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure to afford the title compound as an orange oil which slowly solidified over a number of days (yield 96%). \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.07 (s, 1H), 8.53 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 8.31-8.19 (m, 2H), 7.64 (t, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.68-4.56 (m, 2H), 1.63 (s, 9H), 1.32-1.20 (m, 2H), 0.18 (s, 9H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.3, 152.6, 145.9, 137.5, 137.3, 136.2, 129.6, 129.2, 121.3, 119.8, 115.1, 80.7, 64.3, 28.4, 17.2, -1.3. HRMS calculated for C₂₀H₂₈N₂Na₂O₄Si: 411.1711 (M+Na)\(^+\); Found: 411.1705.
2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 5-bromo-8-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino) quinoline-2-carboxylate (S14). To compound S13 (6.30 g, 16.2 mmol) in DCM (160 mL), N-bromosuccinimide (4.32 g, 24.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added in one portion and the mixture was left on stirring at room temperature. After 18 h, completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC. Solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. DCM was added and evaporated under reduced pressure (x3). The resulting red/brown residue was then immediately purified on a short silica column with cyclohexane:DCM 2:1→1:1 followed by crystallization from hot n-hexane afforded S14 as a pale yellow solid (yield 6.13 g, 81%).

\[ \text{1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 9.05 (s, 1H), 8.66 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.29 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.68-4.57 (m, 2H), 1.62 (s, 9H), 1.34-1.19 (m, 2H), 0.18 (s, 9H). \]

\[ \text{13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 164.7, 152.5, 146.4, 138.0, 137.0, 136.1, 132.9, 128.2, 122.3, 115.5, 112.3, 81.0, 64.5, 28.4, 17.2, -1.3. \]

HRMS calculated for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{27}\)BrN\(_2\)NaO\(_4\)Si: 489.0816 (M+Na)+; Found: 489.0806.

(E)-2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 8-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5-(2(diethoxyphosphoryl)vinyl)quinoline-2-carboxylate (S15). In a dry flask, compound S14 (1.08 g, 2.32 mmol), diethyl vinylphosphonate (440 µL, 2.78 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), dry K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (320 mg, 2.32 mmol, 1 equiv.) and dry o-xylene (10 mL) were introduced under N\(_2\). To this, Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (49 mg, 0.07 mmol, 0.03 equiv.) was added under N\(_2\). The reaction was stirred at 125 °C and completion of reaction was confirmed after 16 h by \(^1\)H NMR of an aliquot. The solution was cooled to room temperature, diluted with EtOAc and washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x3) and brine (x1). The combined aqueous fractions were back-extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and filtered. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with cyclohexane: EtOAc (2:1→3:2→1:1) yielded S15 as a pale yellow solid (1.23 g, 97%).

\[ \text{1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 9.22 (s, 1H), 8.71 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 8.56 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, J = 22.0, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.67-4.58 (m, 2H), 4.28-4.15 (m, 4H), 1.63 (s, 9H), 1.42 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 1.30-1.22 (m, 2H), 0.18 (s, 9H). \]

\[ \text{13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 164.9, 152.5, 146.0, 143.3, 143.2, 138.1, 137.2, 133.2, 128.2, 127.3, 124.5, 124.2, 121.9, 117.0, 114.5, 114.5, 81.2, 64.5, 62.0, 61.9, 28.3, 17.2, 16.5, 16.4, -1.3. \]

\[ \text{31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 19.08. \]

HRMS calculated for C\(_{26}\)H\(_{40}\)N\(_2\)O\(_7\)PSi: 551.2337 (M+H)+; Found: 551.2326.
2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 8-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5-(2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)ethyl) quinoline-2-carboxylate (S16). Compound S15 (987 mg, 1.79 mmol) was dissolved in EtOAc (40 mL) and 10% Pd/C (99 mg) was added as a slurry in a small amount of EtOAc. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 18 h under H₂. The solution was filtered over celite and celite was washed with DCM. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Crude S16 (~quantitative) obtained was used in the next step without further purification.

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.07 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.66-4.58 (m, 2H), 4.22-4.11 (m, 4H), 3.40-3.28 (m, 2H), 2.21-2.06 (m, 2H), 1.62 (s, 9H), 1.37 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 1.30-1.22 (m, 3H), 0.18 (s, 9H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.2, 152.8, 145.6, 138.0, 135.6, 133.3, 129.4, 129.2, 128.9, 127.4, 121.3, 114.8, 80.7, 64.4, 61.8, 61.7, 28.4, 28.0, 26.2, 24.7, 24.7, 17.2, 16.5, 16.5, -1.3.

31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.27. HRMS calculated for C₂₆H₄₂N₂O₇PSi: 553.2493 (M+H)⁺; Found: 553.2483.

8-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5-(2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)ethyl) quinoline-2-carboxylic acid (S17). A solution of TBAF (1 M in THF, 5.60 mL, 5.56 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in 40 mL of dry THF was added dropwise to a flask containing ester S16 (2.05 g, 3.71 mmol) in 60 mL of dry THF at 0°C under N₂. The reaction mixture was left on stirring at room temperature for 6 h. After completion of the reaction (based on TLC), the mixture was concentrated to approximately 5 mL, diluted with chloroform and washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x3), then with brine (x1). The combined aqueous fractions were back-extracted with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄ and filtered. After removal of solvents under reduced pressure, the title compound was obtained as a white solid (1.59 g, 95%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.94 (s, 1H), 8.64 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.40 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.26-4.10 (m, 4H), 3.45-3.29 (m, 2H), 2.24-2.06 (m, 2H), 1.64 (s, 9H), 1.38 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.1, 153.3, 144.9, 137.7, 134.9, 134.0, 129.8, 129.6, 129.2, 127.9, 120.9, 115.9, 81.4, 62.2, 62.1, 28.4, 27.9, 26.0, 24.7, 24.7, 16.5, 16.4. 31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.27. HRMS calculated for C₂₁H₂₀N₂O₅P: 453.1785 (M+H)⁺; Found: 453.1780.
Benzyl 8-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5-(2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)ethyl)quinoline-2-carboxylate (S18). To a solution of acid S17 (1.53 g, 3.38 mmol) in methanol (45 mL) at 0°C, Cs₂CO₃ (828 mg, 2.54 mmol, 0.75 equiv.) was added and the mixture was vigorously stirred at 0°C for 30 min and then at room temperature for 2.5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and dried on the vacuum-line. Dry DMF (30 mL) was added, followed by benzyl bromide (4.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Volatiles were evaporated in vacuo and the residue was taken up in chloroform and washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x2) and brine (x1). The combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated in vacuo. Recrystallization of the residue from Et₂O yielded ester S18 as a white solid (1.64g, 90%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.08 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.36 (m, 5H), 5.54 (s, 2H), 4.23-4.08 (m, 4H), 3.40-3.26 (m, 2H), 2.21-2.05 (m, 2H), 1.61 (s, 9H), 1.36 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.8, 152.8, 145.0, 138.0, 135.6, 135.1, 133.4, 132.8, 129.1, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 127.5, 121.3, 114.8, 80.7, 67.5, 67.1, 61.8, 61.7, 28.2, 26.1, 24.7, 24.6, 16.5, 16.5. 31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.23.

HRMS calculated for C₂₈H₃₆N₂O₇P: 543.2255 (M+H)+; Found: 543.2248.

Benzyl 8-amino-5-(2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)ethyl)quinoline-2-carboxylate (S19). Compound S18 (1.82 g, 3.35 mmol) was dissolved in dry CHCl₃ (17 mL) and stirred at 0°C with an ice bath under N₂. To this was added 4 M HCl in 1,4-dioxane (17 mL, 67 mmol, 20 equiv.) dropwise over 15 min. Cooling was removed and stirring continued for 5 h. When the reaction was completed based on TLC, excess HCL was removed by allowing air to bubble in the reaction mixture. The solution was diluted with CHCl₃, washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate (x1) and brine (x1). The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄ and removal of solvent under reduced pressure afforded compound S19 as a dark orange viscous oil that was used immediately in the next step (1.30 g, 88%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.39 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.58-7.35 (m, 5H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (s, 2H), 4.22-4.07 (m, 4H), 3.33-3.20 (m, 2H), 2.17-2.02 (m, 2H), 1.36 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.2, 144.3, 144.2, 138.1, 135.9, 132.8, 129.5, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.6, 127.5, 127.0, 124.7, 124.4, 121.2, 109.8, 67.3, 67.1, 65.2, 61.7, 61.7, 28.2, 26.3, 24.6, 24.5, 16.6, 16.5. 31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.64.

HRMS calculated for C₂₃H₂₆N₂O₅P: 443.1730 (M+H)+; Found: 443.1723.
3.8.2 Synthesis of protected oligomers

Synthesis of the oligomers in the ($^m$QQ)$_n$ series

**Compound S21.** To a flask containing acid S5 (1.75 g, 3.74 mmol) under N$_2$, dry CHCl$_3$ (50 mL) was added and the mixture stirred at 0 ºC (ice bath) under N$_2$. Ghosez’s reagent (990 µL, 7.48 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added dropwise to the solution over 20 min. Cooling was removed and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. A $^1$H NMR spectrum on an aliquot was recorded to confirm complete conversion. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure on the vacuum line and dried for 1.5 h. Dry chloroform (20 mL) was added to the flask, and evaporated on the vacuum line and the residue was dried for 2 h. In a separate dry flask, amine S10 (1.62 g, 3.55 mmol, 0.95 equiv.) was dissolved 40 mL of dry CHCl$_3$. Dry DIPEA (4.30mL, 26mmol, 7 equiv.) as added and the mixture was cooled to 0 ºC. The acid chloride S20 was dissolved in 20 mL of dry CHCl$_3$ and added via syringe to the above amine solution over 40 min at 0 ºC with stirring under N$_2$. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 18 h under N$_2$. The mixture was diluted with DCM and washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x3), water (x1), brine (x2). The combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with DCM. The combined organic phases were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Recrystallization of the residue from DCM/Et$_2$O afforded the product S21 as greenish-white solid (2.77 g, 87%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 12.41 (s, 1H), 9.15 (dd, $J$ = 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (dd, $J$ = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (dd, $J$ = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, $J$ = 10.1 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.75 (t, $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.63 (dd, $J$ = 8.3, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (t, $J$ = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (d, $J$ = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.70-4.58 (m, 6H), 4.40-4.30 (m, 8H), 1.45 (t, $J$ = 7.1, 6H), 1.44 (t, $J$ = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 1.38 (s, 9H), 1.30-1.20 (m, 2H), 0.16 (s, 9H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 164.5, 163.1, 162.9, 162.9, 162.4, 162.21, 161.4, 150.4, 147.9, 145.95, 139.7, 137.9, 135.2, 130.3, 128.7, 127.5, 121.9, 121.7, 120.94, 117.95, 115.8, 101.3, 98.2, 78.8, 64.5, 63.6, 63.57, 63.3, 63.2, 63.1, 61.32, 61.29, 41.9, 28.4, 17.6, 16.6, 16.5, -1.4. $^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 17.74, 17.37. HRMS calculated for C$_{41}$H$_{57}$N$_4$O$_{13}$P$_2$Si: 903.3172 (M–H)–; Found: 903.3179.

**Dimer-acid S22.** A solution of TBAF (1 M in THF, 6.45 mL, 6.45 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in dry THF (40 mL) was added dropwise to a flask containing compound S21 (3.89 g, 4.30 mmol) in 60 mL of dry THF at 0 ºC under N$_2$. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 5 h. After
completion of the reaction (based on TLC), 150 mL of 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid was then slowly added to the reaction mixture with stirring. Then the slurry was poured into 500 mL of 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid and stirred vigorously for 15 min. Solids were filtered, thoroughly washed with water then with Et₂O, and dried under high-vacuum. Acid S22 was obtained as a white solid (3.34 g, 96%) and did not require further purification. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.25 (s, 1H), 9.14 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 8.30 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 7.79-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.64 (dd, J = 8.3, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (s, 2H), 5.06 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO, NH₄HCO₃ added) δ 168.8, 163.1, 162.95, 162.6, 161.5, 161.3, 158.3, 156.8, 150.5, 145.4, 139.3, 139.2, 134.9, 129.2, 127.9, 126.9, 121.6, 120.7, 120.1, 116.4, 115.7, 102.7, 99.3, 78.0, 63.5, 63.2, 63.0, 62.9, 62.9, 61.3, 61.0, 28.7, 16.9, 16.8. ³¹P NMR (122 MHz, DMSO, NH₄HCO₃ added) δ 18.85, 18.69.

**Compound S23.** Compound S22 (1.695 g, 2.1 mmol) was dispersed in methanol (30 mL) and cooled to 0°C. Then Cs₂CO₃ (515 mg, 1.56 mmol, 0.75 equiv.) was added in one portion and the mixture was vigorously stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo and dried on a vacuum line. To this, 20 mL of dry DMF was added followed by benzyl bromide (299 µL, 2.52 mmol, 1.2 equiv.). The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. Then volatiles were evaporated and the residue was dissolved in chloroform. The organic phase was washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x2), brine (x1), and all aqueous phases were combined and back-extracted with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered over celite and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in DCM/EtOAc and concentrated under reduced pressure which resulted in slow precipitation of the product. EtOAc was added and the mixture was placed at -4 °C overnight. Crystals were collected by filtration, washed with cold EtOAc, then Et₂O and dried to yield pure dimer S23 as a white solid (1.793g, 95%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.42 (s, 1H), 9.16 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.68-7.59 (m, 2H), 7.56 - 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.50 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (s, 2H), 5.24 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H), 4.42-4.26 (m, 8H), 1.44 (t, J = 14.6, 7.2 Hz, 20H), 1.40 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.4, 163.1, 162.95, 162.5, 162.3, 156.6, 150.4, 147.4, 145.9, 139.8, 138.1, 135.6, 135.2, 130.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 127.6, 121.9, 121.8, 118.1, 115.8, 101.4, 98.2, 78.95, 67.7, 63.65, 63.3, 63.2, 63.1, 64.1, 41.6, 28.45, 16.62, 16.59, 16.55, 16.5. ³¹P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 17.79, 17.39. HRMS calculated for C₃₆H₄₅N₄O₁₃P₂: 895.3079 (M+H)⁺; Found: 895.3077.
**Dimer-amine S24.** Compound S23 (1.95 g, 2.18 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (14 mL) and stirred at 0°C. Then TFA (3.45 mL, 43.6 mmol, 20 equiv.) was added to the mixture dropwise over 20 min. The ice bath was removed and the reaction was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. Completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC. The solvent and excess reagent were removed under reduced pressure, then co-evaporated with DCM (x2) and then Et2O (x2). The resulting light yellow solid was taken up in CHCl3 and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (x2), brine (x1). Aqueous phases were combined and back extracted with CHCl3. The combined organic phases were dried over Na2SO4, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield amine S24 as a yellow solid that was used without further purification (1.632 g, 94%). 1H NMR (TFA salt, 300 MHz, DMSO) δ 12.39 (s, 1H), 9.04 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.29 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (brs, 2H), 8.09 - 8.04 (m, 2H), 7.99 - 7.82 (m, 4H), 7.61 - 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.42 - 7.30 (m, 3H), 5.63 (s, 2H), 5.10 - 4.96 (m, 6H), 4.30 - 4.15 (m, 8H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H). 13C NMR (TFA salt, 75 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 164.4, 163.5, 163.4, 162.8, 162.6, 162.2, 159.6, 159.1, 158.6, 158.1, 151.1, 147.6, 145.3, 139.2, 136.2, 135.1, 132.56, 129.49, 128.99, 128.7, 128.5, 128.1, 122.8, 121.9, 121.8, 117.9, 117.3, 115.9, 114.1, 110.2, 103.2, 100.1, 67.8, 63.8, 63.0, 63.0, 62.95, 62.9, 61.6, 16.9, 16.85, 16.8, 16.77. 31P NMR (TFA salt, 122 MHz, DMSO) δ 18.49, 18.46. HRMS calculated for C38H45N4O11P2: 795.2555 (M+H)+; Found: 795.2533.

**Tetramer S25.** To a solution of acid S22 (1.70 g, 2.11 mmol) in dry CHCl3 (30 mL), DIPEA (2.57 mL, 14.8 mmol, 7 equiv.) was added and the solution was stirred at 0 °C under N2. HBTU (1.60 g, 4.22 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added to the mixture and stirring was continued at 0 °C for 15 min. A solution of amine S24 (1.60 g, 2.01 mmol, 0.95 equiv.) in 10 mL dry CHCl3 was added dropwise over 20 min and the mixture was then stirred for 18 h at room temperature under N2. The mixture was then diluted with CHCl3, washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x3), saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (x1), and brine (x1). Aqueous phases were combined and back-extracted with CHCl3. The combined organic phases were dried over Na2SO4, filtered and evaporated in vacuo. The resulting oily residue was dissolved in DCM/EtOAc. DCM was evaporated under slightly reduced pressure. Addition of small amounts of Et2O followed by excess EtOAc resulted in precipitation of byproducts and excess reagents which were removed by filtration through celite. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness and the residue was recrystallised from DCM/EtOAc and purified on silica gel chromatography (DCM: 5% MeOH in EtOAc 10:85→20:75→30:65). Tetramer S25 was obtained as a pale yellow solid (2.86 g, 90%). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 11.67 (s, 1H), 11.56 (s, 1H), 10.00 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 8.95 - 8.86 (m, 1H), 8.31 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.75-7.42 (m, 8H), 7.38 – 7.23...
(m, 4H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 5.56 (s, 2H), 5.32 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 4.84 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H), 4.58 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 2H), 4.50-4.15 (m, 21H), 3.89 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.58 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 2H), 4.52-4.15 (m, 2H), 3.89 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.55-1.46 (m, 2H), 1.26 (s, 9H).

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 165.6, 164.3, 162.7, 162.5, 162.3, 161.9, 161.7, 161.3, 155.5, 150.9, 150.7, 150.35, 146.6, 145.6, 146.6, 144.6, 138.3, 137.9, 136.4, 135.6, 134.6, 134.2, 133.2, 131.1, 128.98, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 127.7, 127.2, 122.4, 122.0, 121.5, 120.5, 120.1, 119.9, 118.0, 114.4, 114.9, 114.4, 119.9, 118.0, 117.5, 117.5, 116.1, 114.4, 100.2, 99.8, 99.1, 97.6, 79.1, 79.1, 68.0, 63.9, 63.5, 63.3, 63.2, 63.1, 61.7, 61.2, 61.0, 60.7, 44.4, 40.4, 28.2, 16.7, 16.6. $^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 18.19, 18.15, 18.09, 17.94.

HRMS calculated for C$_{74}$H$_{89}$N$_8$O$_{23}$P$_4$: 1581.4985 (M+H)$^+$; Found: 1581.4950.

**Tetramer-amine S26.** Following the protocol described for the synthesis of amine S24. Amounts used: tetramer S25 (1.39 g, 0.88 mmol), TFA (1.4 mL, 17.6 mmol, 20 equiv.), DCM (5 mL). Crystallization from DCM/Et$_2$O afforded tetramer-amine S26 as a pale yellow solid (1.24 g, 95%). HRMS calculated for C$_{69}$H$_{81}$N$_8$O$_{21}$P$_4$: 1481.4461 (M+H)$^+$; Found: 1481.4441.

**Tetramer-acid S27.** Tetramer S25 (1.39 g, 0.88 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of THF (25 mL) and isopropanol (25 mL) and 10% Pd/C (139 mg) was added as a slurry in a small amount of isopropanol. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred under H$_2$ at room temperature for 18 h, then filtered through celite and concentrated in vacuo. Recrystallization of the residue from DCM/Et$_2$O afforded tetramer-acid S27 as a pale yellow solid (1.24 g, 95%) that was used without further purification. HRMS calculated for C$_{67}$H$_{81}$N$_8$O$_{23}$P$_4$: 1489.4370 (M-H)$^-$; Found: 1489.4352.

**Octamer S28.** Following the protocol described for the synthesis of tetramer S25. Amounts used: tetramer-acid S27 (1.16 g, 0.78 mmol), tetramer-amine S26 (1.15 g, 0.77 mmol, 0.99 equiv.), HBTU (599 mg, 1.58 mmol, 2 equiv.), DIPEA (964 µL, 5.53 mmol, 7 equiv.), CHCl$_3$ (15 mL for acid solution, 5 mL for amine solution, 10 mL for washing). In addition to the original protocol, reaction mixture was filtered through celite prior to aqueous workup. Octamer S28 was obtained by crystallization as a pale yellow solid (1.97 g, 86%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 11.36 (s, 1H),
11.13 (s, 1H), 10.45 (s, 1H), 10.29 (s, 1H), 9.37-9.23 (m, 2H), 8.91 (brs, 1H), 8.52 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.09-7.90 (m, 5H), 7.52 (t, J = 7.7, 1H), 7.44-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.37-7.14 (m, 8H), 7.08 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 6.94-6.74 (m, 7H), 6.69- 6.47 (m, 4H), 5.51 (brs, 1H), 5.28 (brs, 1H), 5.19 (brs, 1H), 5.03-3.76 (m, 51H), 3.64 (brs, 1H), 3.14 (brs, 1H), 2.68 (brs, 1H), 2.45 (brs, 1H), 1.71-1.36 (m, 48H), 1.11 (s, 9H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, J-Mod, CDCl$_3$) δ 165.2, 164.5, 164.4, 163.8, 162.6, 162.4, 162.4, 162.22, 162.2, 161.93, 161.9, 161.6, 161.6, 161.5, 161.5, 161.3, 161.26, 161.2, 161.12, 160.96, 160.89, 160.7, 160.3, 160.1, 155.2, 150.7, 150.6, 150.4, 150.36, 149.5, 149.4, 146.9, 145.9, 145.3, 145.25, 144.3, 137.7, 137.41, 136.1, 135.5, 135.0, 133.9, 133.9, 133.1, 132.6, 131.8, 131.6, 131.5, 130.4, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.97, 127.9, 127.4, 127.3, 126.8, 121.8, 121.7, 121.3, 121.2, 121.19, 121.0, 120.5, 120.0, 119.7, 119.6, 118.2, 118.0, 117.5, 117.3, 117.0, 116.0, 114.5, 114.4, 114.2, 99.9, 98.9, 98.3, 97.96, 97.8, 97.4, 97.1, 78.8, 67, 8, 63.8, 63.1, 63.1, 63.0, 62.9, 61.5, 61.0, 60.8, 60.7, 44.2, 43.3, 40.1, 28.3, 16.9, 16.83, 16.80, 16.76, 16.7, 16.6, 16.5. $^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 19.38, 18.93, 18.87, 18.11 (2P), 17.97, 17.75, 17.49. HRMS calculated for C$_{136}$H$_{162}$N$_{16}$O$_{43}$P$_{8}$: 1477.44 (M+2H)$^{2+}$; Found: 1477.43. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

Octamer-amine S29. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of amine S24. Amounts used: octamer S28 (600 mg, 0.203 mmol), TFA (626 µL, 8.12 mmol, 40 equiv.), DCM (2.5 mL). Crystallization from DCM/Et$_2$O afforded octamer-amine S29 as a pale yellow solid (563 mg, 97%) that was used without further purification. HRMS calculated for C$_{131}$H$_{154}$N$_{16}$O$_{41}$P$_{8}$: 1428.42 (M+2H)$^{2+}$; Found: 1428.42. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

Octamer-acid S30. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of acid S27. Amounts used: octamer S28 (600 mg, 0.203 mmol), 10% Pd/C (120 mg, 20% wt), THF (20 mL), iPrOH (10 mL). Octamer-acid S30 was obtained as a pale yellow solid (564 mg, 94%) and used without further purification. HRMS calculated for C$_{129}$H$_{155}$N$_{16}$O$_{43}$P$_{8}$: 2863.83 (M+H)$^{+}$; Found: 2863.83. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.
16mer S31. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of tetramer S25. Amounts used: octamer-acid S30 (165 mg, 58 µmol), octamer-amine S29 (165 mg, 58 µmol, 1 equiv.), HBTU (42 mg, 116 µmol, 2 equiv.), DIPEA (68 µL, 0.40 mmol, 7 equiv.), CHCl₃ (1 mL for acid solution, 1mL for amine solution, 1mL for washing). In addition to the original protocol, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite prior to aqueous workup. Crystallization from DCM/Et₂O afforded compound S31 as a pale yellow solid (310 mg, 95%). 

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.18 (s, 1H), 10.97 (s, 1H), 10.15 (s, 1H), 10.04 (s, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 9.85 (s, 1H), 9.78 (s, 1H), 9.15 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 9.06 (d, $J = 10.6$ Hz, 1H), 8.74 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 8.64 - 8.48 (m, 4H), 8.38 (dd, $J = 7.3, 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.98 - 7.38 (m, 20H), 7.34 - 6.87 (m, 21H), 6.78 - 6.16 (m, 27H), 5.42 (d, $J = 12.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dd, $J = 14.4, 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.05 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.81 - 3.16 (m, 104H), 3.07 - 2.94 (m, 1H), 2.38 (d, $J = 15.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.27 - 2.04 (m, 5H), 1.66 - 1.27 (m, 96H), 1.04 (s, 9H).

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 164.3, 164.3, 164.1, 163.95, 163.9, 163.7, 162.5, 162.2, 162.1, 162.0, 162.0, 161.9, 161.8, 161.7, 161.68, 161.6, 161.4, 161.4, 161.3, 161.3, 161.1, 161.0, 160.7, 160.7, 160.6, 160.4, 160.4, 160.3, 160.2, 160.2, 160.2, 160.1, 160.0, 160.0, 159.9, 159.8, 159.8, 155.1, 150.6, 150.5, 150.5, 150.2, 150.1, 149.1, 148.8, 148.6, 148.5, 148.4, 148.4, 146.8, 145.7, 145.5, 145.45, 145.1, 145.0, 144.2, 137.5, 137.2, 135.9, 135.7, 135.4, 135.3, 135.2, 134.7, 133.7, 133.5, 133.2, 133.1, 133.05, 132.8, 132.4, 131.8, 131.8, 131.5, 131.3, 131.2, 131.0, 130.9, 130.8, 130.2, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.7, 127.2, 127.1, 126.7, 126.4, 126.4, 125.9, 125.9, 125.7, 125.7, 121.7, 121.6, 121.2, 121.1, 121.1, 120.95, 120.5, 120.2, 120.1, 119.8, 119.5, 117.8, 117.6, 117.6, 117.5, 117.4, 117.4, 117.1, 116.9, 116.8, 116.7, 116.7, 116.6, 116.5, 116.5, 115.8, 114.3, 114.1, 114.1, 113.95, 99.7, 99.7, 98.8, 98.0, 97.9, 97.9, 97.8, 97.7, 97.6, 97.4, 97.2, 97.2, 97.1, 97.0, 78.7, 67.7, 63.2, 63.1, 63.1, 63.1, 63.0, 62.9, 62.8, 62.8, 62.7, 62.7, 62.6, 62.6, 62.5, 44.0, 43.2, 28.0, 16.8, 16.7, 16.6, 16.5, 16.4, 16.4. 

$^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.49, 19.48, 19.41 (2P), 19.38, 18.94, 18.76, 18.12, 18.02, 17.94, 17.77, 17.73, 17.70, 17.67 (2P), 17.45. Maldi MS calculated for C$_{260}$H$_{365}$N$_{32}$O$_{83}$P$_{16}$: 5700.6 (M+H)$^+$; Found: 5699.5. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

16mer-amine S32. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of amine S24. Amounts used: 16mer S31 (115 mg, 20 µmol), TFA (160 µL, 2 mmol, 100 equiv.), DCM (350 µL, out of which 200 µL was used to dissolve the compound and 150µL to dilute TFA). The crude product was recrystallised from DCM/Et₂O to yield 16mer-amine S32 as a pale yellow solid (109 mg, 97%). Maldi MS calculated for C$_{255}$H$_{307}$N$_{32}$O$_{83}$P$_{16}$: 5601 (M+H)$^+$; Found: 5602. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.
16mer-acid S33. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of acid S27, amounts used: 16mer S31 (115 mg, 20 µmol), 10% Pd/C (23 mg, 20% wt), THF (15 mL), iPrOH (15 mL). 16mer-acid S33 was obtained as a pale yellow solid (109 mg, 97%) that was used without further purification. Maldi MS calculated for C_{253}H_{299}N_{32}O_{83}P_{16}: 5610 (M+H)^+; Found: 5612. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

24mer S34. The reaction flask was charged with 16mer-amine S32 (128 mg, 23 µmol) and octamer-acid S30 (66 mg, 23 µmol, 1 equiv.) and flushed with nitrogen. Then CHCl₃ (1 mL) was added, immediately followed by PyBOP (12 mg, 24 µmol, 1.05 equiv.) and DIPEA (10 µL, 58 µmol, 2.5 equiv.) and the mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature under nitrogen. Then another portion of PyBOP (12 mg, 24 µmol, 1.05 equiv.), DIPEA (10 µL, 58 µmol, 2.5 equiv.) and CHCl₃ (0.5 mL) were added and the mixture was stirred for next 24 h under nitrogen. Completion of reaction was confirmed by RP-HPLC. The reaction mixture was diluted with CHCl₃, washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x2), saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (x2), and brine (x1). The combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with CHCl₃. The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was dissolved in EtOAc, and the resulting solution was filtered through celite and evaporated. Recrystallization from DCM/toluene/Et₂O followed by silica gel chromatography (DCM: EtOAc: MeOH 60:30:10 → DCM: MeOH 85:15) afforded S34 as a pale yellow solid (109 mg, 56%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.12 (s, 1H), 10.93 (s, 1H), 10.08 (s, 1H), 9.94 (s, 1H), 9.74 (s, 1H), 9.72 (s, 1H), 9.66-9.50 (m, 6H), 9.15 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 9.06 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 8.72 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 8.62-8.37 (m, 8H), 8.33 (dd, J = 6.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.98-5.96 (m, 100H), 5.39 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 5.20-5.06 (m, 1H), 5.02 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.82-2.88 (m, 155H), 2.19-1.83 (m, 12H), 1.64-1.19 (m, 144H), 1.01 (s, 9H). ³¹P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.32, 19.28-19.16 (7P), 18.84, 18.77, 18.01 (2P), 17.92, 17.72, 17.64 - 17.57 (5P), 17.61, 17.56, 17.45. Maldi MS calculated for C_{384}H_{449}N_{48}O_{123}P_{24}: 8447 (M+H)^+; Found: 8448. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.
32mer S35. The reaction flask was charged with 16mer-amine S32 (90 mg, 16 µmol), 16mer-acid S33 (90 mg, 16 µmol) and PyBOP (17 mg, 32 µmol, 2 equiv.) and flushed with nitrogen. Then CHCl₃ (1 mL) was added, immediately followed by DIPEA (14 µL, 112 µmol, 5 equiv.) and the mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature under nitrogen. Completion of the reaction was confirmed by RP-HPLC. The reaction mixture was diluted with CHCl₃, washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x2), saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (x2), and brine (x1). The combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with CHCl₃. The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Some byproducts and excess reagents were precipitated from DCM/EtOAc and removed by filtration through celite. The filtrate was evaporated. Crystallization from DCM/Et₂O and recrystallization from DCM/toluene/Et₂O yielded the title compound S35 (125 mg, 69% yield).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.12 (s, 1H), 10.92 (s, 1H), 10.07 (s, 1H), 9.94 (s, 1H), 9.72 (s, 1H), 9.66 - 9.40 (m, 10H), 9.13 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 9.04 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.70 (brs, 1H), 8.60 - 8.24 (m, 12H), 7.96 - 5.90 (m, 13H), 5.39 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 5.15 - 5.05 (m, 1H), 5.02 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.75 - 2.91 (m, 209H), 2.15 - 1.80 (m, 14H), 1.66 - 1.21 (m, 192H), 1.01 (s, 9H).

31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.48 - 19.18 (13P), 18.92, 18.79, 18.07, 18.04, 17.96, 17.77, 17.71, 17.69 - 17.57 (11P), 17.48.

Maldi MS calculated for C₅₀₈H₅₉₃N₆₄O₁₆₃P₃₂: 11193 (M+H)+; Found: 11190.

Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

Synthesis of the oligomers in the (³⁵Q₃)ₙ series

Dimer S36. To a dry flask containing acid S5 (2.84 mmol, 1.33 g), amine S19 (1.26 g, 2.84 mmol, 1 equiv.) and PyBOP (2.96 g, 5.69 mmol, 2 equiv.), 20 mL of dry CHCl₃ was added followed by DIPEA (3.47 mL, 19.9 mmol, 7 equiv.) at room temperature under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred for 22 h under nitrogen. An additional 93 mg (0.20 mmol, 0.07 equiv.) of acid S5 was added and the stirring was continued for another 6 h. Completion of reaction was confirmed by 1H NMR of an aliquot. The mixture was diluted with CHCl₃, washed with 5% wt/vol aqueous citric acid (x3), saturated sodium bicarbonate (x1) and brine (x1). The combined aqueous phases were back-extracted with CHCl₃. The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and solvents were evaporated in vacuo. Some byproducts and excess reagents were precipitated from EtOAc and removed by filtration through celite. Evaporation of the filtrate yielded the crude product as a brownish oil. Silica gel chromatographic purification (cyclohexane:acetone 50:50→0:100) and recrystallization from DCM/Et₂O yielded the title compound as a pale yellow solid (1.85 g, 73%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.45 (s, 1H), 9.06 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H),...
8.32-8.22 (m, 2H), 7.95 - 7.78 (m, 2H), 7.69-7.33 (m, 7H), 5.75 (brs, 1H), 5.59 (s, 2H), 5.27 (brs, 2H), 4.66 (d, \( J = 10.3 \) Hz, 2H), 4.35 (dq, \( J = 8.3, 7.1 \) Hz, 4H), 4.25-4.10 (m, 4H), 3.47-3.35 (m, 2H), 2.28 -2.10 (m, 2H), 1.44 (t, \( J = 7.1 \) Hz, 6H), 1.40 (s, 9H), 1.38 (t, \( J = 7.1 \) Hz, 6H).

\( ^{13} \text{C} \) NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 164.2, 163.0, 162.8, 156.7, 150.3, 145.98, 145.8, 139.2, 138.2, 135.7, 134.2, 133.4, 131.4, 131.2, 129.9, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.4, 127.4, 121.8, 121.5, 121.0, 117.1, 98.1, 79.0, 67.6, 63.6, 63.2, 63.1, 61.9, 61.8, 61.3, 41.5, 28.5, 27.8, 26.0, 24.9, 24.8, 16.6, 16.6, 16.5, 16.5.

\( ^{31} \text{P} \) NMR (122 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 30.19, 17.81.

HRMS calculated for C\(_{44}\)H\(_{55}\)N\(_4\)O\(_{12}\)P\(_2\): 893.3286 (M+H)\(^+\); Found: 893.3284.

Dimer-amine S37. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of amine S24. Amounts used: Dimer S31 (893 mg, 1.00 mmol), TFA (1.54 mL, 20 mmol, 20 equiv.), DCM (3 mL). The crude product was recrystallised from DCM/Et\(_2\)O to yield dimer-amine S37 as a pale yellow solid (681 mg, 86%) that was used immediately without further purification. \( ^{1} \text{H} \) NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\( d_6 \)) \( \delta \) 12.28 (s, 1H), 8.98 (d, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, 1H), 8.76 (d, \( J = 8.8 \) Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, \( J = 8.8 \) Hz, 1H), 8.12 (d, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 1H), 8.03 - 7.96 (m, 2H), 7.82-7.74 (m, 2H), 7.56 (dd, \( J = 7.6, 1.7 \) Hz, 2H), 7.41-7.29 (m, 3H), 5.64 (s, 2H), 5.00 (d, \( J = 9.8 \) Hz, 2H), 4.75 (s, 2H), 4.29 - 4.18 (m, 4H), 4.09-3.97 (m, 4H), 2.27-2.13 (m, 2H), 1.32 (t, \( J = 7.0 \) Hz, 5H), 1.24 (t, \( J = 7.0 \) Hz, 5H). HRMS calculated for C\(_{39}\)H\(_{47}\)N\(_4\)O\(_{10}\)P\(_2\): 793.2762 (M+H)\(^+\); Found: 793.2764.

Dimer-acid S38. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of acid S27. Amounts used: dimer S36 (800 mg, 0.896 mmol), 10% Pd/C (80 mg), THF (25 mL), iPrOH (25mL). Dimer-acid S38 was obtained as a pale yellow solid (699 mg, 91%) and used in the next step without further purification. \( ^{1} \text{H} \) NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 12.31 (s, 1H), 9.06 (d, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, 1H), 8.61 (d, \( J = 8.8 \) Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, 1H), 8.31 (dd, \( J = 7.4, 1.1 \) Hz, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, \( J = 6.7 \) Hz, 1H), 7.69-7.60 (m, 2H), 5.35 (brs, 2H), 5.06 (brs, 1H), 4.67 (d, \( J = 10.4 \) Hz, 2H), 4.41 - 4.29 (m, 4H), 4.26-4.11 (m, 4H), 3.49 - 3.37 (m, 2H), 2.29-2.14 (m, 2H), 1.44 (t, \( J = 7.1 \) Hz, 6H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.39 (d, \( J = 7.1 \) Hz, 6H). HRMS calculated for C\(_{37}\)H\(_{45}\)N\(_4\)O\(_{12}\)P\(_2\): 801.2671 (M-H)\(^-\); Found: 801.2676.
Tetramer S39. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of tetramer S25. Amounts used: dimer-acid S38 (474 mg, 0.590 mmol), dimer-amine S37 (468 mg, 0.590 mmol, 1 equiv.), HBTU (463 mg, 1.18 mmol, 2 equiv.), DIPEA (745 µL, 4.13 mmol, 7 equiv.), CHCl₃ (10 mL for acid solution, 4 mL for amine solution, 3 mL for washing). In addition to the original protocol, the reaction mixture was filtered through a celite pad prior to aqueous workup. Crystallization followed by silica gel chromatography afforded the title compound as a yellow solid (872 mg, 94%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.00 (s, 1H), 11.49 (s, 1H), 9.83 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 8.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.30 - 8.15 (m, 4H), 8.13 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.70 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.54-7.31 (m, 7H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 5.52 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 5.48 (s, 2H), 4.82 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H), 4.50-4.33 (m, 8H), 4.30-4.14 (m, 8H), 4.02 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.27 - 3.12 (m, 4H), 2.19-1.99 (m, 4H), 1.52 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 1.51 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 1.43 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.41 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.24 (s, 9H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.4, 163.3, 162.9, 162.7, 162.6, 162.4, 162.1, 161.2, 155.5, 150.6, 150.3, 149.1, 146.6, 144.7, 144.4, 138.1, 137.1, 136.5, 135.7, 135.6, 133.6, 133.1, 132.8, 131.95, 131.24, 131.1, 130.9, 130.8, 130.7, 129.0, 128.6, 128.3, 127.5, 127.2, 126.4, 126.3, 122.2, 121.97, 121.6, 120.4, 119.9, 116.95, 116.1, 99.1, 79.1, 67.7, 63.9, 63.26, 63.2, 63.1, 61.9, 61.8, 61.7, 60.8, 53.4, 43.8, 40.4, 28.2, 28.1, 27.8, 26.2, 26.0, 24.8, 24.6, 16.7. 31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.17, 29.84, 17.98, 17.83, 17.8. HRMS calculated for C₇₆H₉₃N₈O₂₁P₄: 1577.5400 (M+H)+; Found: 1577.5409.

Tetramer-amine S40. Tetramer S39 (550 mg, 0.35 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (2.5 mL) and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. Then TFA (1.35 mL, 17.5 mmol, 50 equiv.) was added dropwise over 20 min at 0 °C with stirring. Cooling was removed and the reaction was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. After completion of the reaction (based on TLC), the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure, and then co-evaporated with DCM (x2) and with Et₂O (x2). The residue was dried on the vacuum line. Amine S40 (TFA salt) was obtained as a yellow glassy solid (819 mg) and used without further purification. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.12 (s, 1H), 11.74 (s, 1H), 9.56 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 8.91 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.56 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.27-7.51 (m, 14H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.36-7.32 (m, 3H), 5.58 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 4.74 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H). 31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.17, 29.84, 17.98, 17.8. HRMS calculated for C₇₁H₇₃N₈O₁₉P₄: 1477.4876 (M+H)+; Found: 1477.4874. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.
Tetramer-acid S41. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of acid S27. Amounts used: tetramer S39 (537 mg, 0.34 mmol), Pd/C (10% wt/wt, 54 mg), THF (15 mL), iPrOH (15 mL). The reaction took 4 days, an additional 54 mg of Pd/C was added after the second day. Tetramer-acid S41 was obtained as a pale yellow solid (431 mg, 85% yield) that was used without further purification. HRMS calculated for $\text{C}_{69}\text{H}_{85}\text{N}_{8}\text{O}_{21}\text{P}_{4}$: 1485.4785 (M-H); Found: 1485.4783. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

Octamer S42. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of tetramer S25. Amounts used: tetramer-acid S41 (380 mg, 0.255 mmol), tetramer-amine S40 (1.0 equiv., calculated for S40 with ~7.5 TFA, 596mg), HBTU (193 mg, 0.511 mmol, 2 equiv.), DIPEA (890 µL, 5.11 mmol, 20 equiv.), CHCl₃ (4 mL for acid solution, 2 mL for amine solution, 3 mL for washing). In addition to the original protocol, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite prior to aqueous workup. Octamer S42 was purified by silica gel column chromatography using DCM:EtOAc:MeOH (10:80:10→30:60:10) followed by recrystallization from DCM/Et₂O to yield a pale yellow solid (579 mg, 77%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃CN) $\delta$ 11.57 (s, 1H), 10.87 (s, 1H), 10.75 (s, 1H), 10.36 (s, 1H), 9.13 (brs, 2H), 8.65 (t, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 1H), 8.38 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 8.16 -8.03 (m, 2H), 7.99 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.85 (t, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.81-7.27 (m, 22H), 7.27 -7.14 (m, 4H), 7.10 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.82-6.61 (m, 5H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 5.20 (brs, 2H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 4.86 (d, $J = 9.4$ Hz, 2H), 4.62-4.96 (m, 40H), 4.35-4.17 (m, 3H), 4.16-3.98 (m, 2H), 3.03-2.85 (m, 4H), 2.79 - 2.56 (m, 4H), 1.94 - 1.73 (m, 8H), 1.65 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H), 1.56 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 6H), 1.51 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H), 1.49 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H), 1.45 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H), 1.43 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 6H), 1.34 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 6H), 1.27 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 6H), 0.98 (s, 9H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃CN) $\delta$ 164.0, 163.1, 162.4, 162.3, 162.1, 161.9, 161.7, 161.5, 160.4, 160.0, 159.7, 155.2, 150.7, 150.23, 149.9, 149.8, 147.6, 146.85, 146.2, 145.0, 144.7, 143.9, 143.8, 137.2, 136.2, 135.96, 135.8, 135.5, 135.2, 135.0, 133.7, 133.0, 132.9, 132.7, 132.4, 132.1, 131.7, 131.5, 131.2, 131.1, 131.0, 130.8, 130.6, 130.5, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 128.8, 128.5, 128.1, 127.9, 127.5, 127.4, 127.2, 127.1, 126.6, 126.4, 125.8, 125.75, 124.6, 124.4, 121.4, 121.3, 121.1, 121.0, 119.9, 118.3, 118.1, 117.7, 115.96, 115.8, 114.95, 99.1, 97.9, 97.4, 97.1, 77.9, 66.9, 63.6, 63.2, 63.1, 63.0, 62.9, 61.8, 61.76, 61.7, 61.68, 61.6, 61.5, 61.45, 61.0, 60.6, 43.2, 42.9, 42.4, 39.6, 27.3, 26.8, 26.5, 25.0, 24.65, 24.2, 23.97, 23.8, 16.3, 16.3, 16.2, 16.1, 16.01, 15.9, 15.9, 15.8. $^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, CD₃CN) $\delta$
30.06, 29.83(2P), 29.54, 18.21, 18.06, 17.88, 17.48. HRMS calculated for C_{140}H_{169}N_{16}O_{39}P_{8}: 2943.95 (M-H)^-; Found: 2943.92. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

Octamer-amine S43. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of amine S40. Amounts used: octamer S42 (270 mg, 92 µmol), TFA (350 µL, 4.6 mmol, 50 equiv.), DCM (700 µL). Amine S43 was obtained as a yellow solid TFA salt (374 mg) and used without further purification. HRMS calculated for C_{135}H_{161}N_{16}O_{37}P_{8}: 2845.91 (M+H)^+; Found: 2845.91. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

Octamer-acid S44. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of acid S27. Amounts used: octamer S42 (270 mg, 92 µmol), (54 mg, 10% wt/wt), THF (15 mL), iPrOH (15 mL). The reaction took 2 days. Octamer-acid S44 was obtained as a pale yellow solid (249 mg, 95%) and used without further purification. HRMS calculated for C_{133}H_{161}N_{16}O_{39}P_{8}: 2853.90 (M-H)^-; Found: 2853.88. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

16mer S45. Following the protocol described for the synthesis of tetramer S25. Amounts used: octamer-acid S44 (249 mg, 87 µmol), octamer-amine S43 (1 equiv., calculated as a salt with ~11TFA, 349 mg), HBTU (66 mg, 87 µmol, 2 equiv.), DIPEA (280 µL, 1.57 mmol, 18 equiv.), CHCl₃ (2 mL for acid solution, 1 mL for amine solution, 1 mL for washing). In addition to the original protocol, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite prior to aqueous workup. Pure 16mer S45 was obtained by crystallizations from DCM/Et₂O followed by recrystallization from DCM/toluene/Et₂O as a pale yellow solid (265 mg, 54%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OH, solvent suppression applied) δ 11.30 (s, 1H), 10.90 (s, 1H), 10.49 (s, 1H), 10.17 (s, 1H), 9.99 (s, 2H), 9.95
(s, 1H), 9.92 (s, 1H), 9.34 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 8.96 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.73 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 8.42 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.34 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 8.12 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.86 - 6.26 (m, 67H), ~5.2 -~4.6 (suppression region), 4.56 - 3.41 (m, 16H), 3.02 - 2.14 (m, 20H), 2.02 - 1.34 (m, 100H), 1.27 (td, J = 7.1, 2.9 Hz, 6H), 1.19 (td, J = 7.1, 2.9 Hz, 6H), 0.92 (s, 9H).

P NMR (122 MHz, CD$_3$OH) δ 31.10, 30.91, 30.90, 30.81, 30.79 (3P), 30.75, 19.21, 19.10, 18.81, 18.67 (3P), 18.58, 18.30.

Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

3.8.3 Synthesis of water soluble oligomers

General procedure of deprotection of ethyl phophonate oligomers. CAUTION – trimethylsilyl bromide (TMSBr) is highly volatile and produces hydrogen bromide upon exposure to atmospheric moisture! The starting material (scale of reaction: 10-50mg, only highest purity crystalline material should be used, as impurities significantly increase the reaction time and amount of side-products) was placed in a dried flask protected with a septum and purged with N$_2$ for 30 min. Then, freshly distilled chloroform was added (5 volumes with respect to TMSBr were used) and the mixture was cooled to 0°C. To this was added dropwise a solution of TMSBr (10 equiv with respect to each functional group to be deprotected, including C- and N-terminae, i.e. 100 equiv. for tetramer, 180 equiv. for octamer, 340 equiv. for 16mer, 500 equiv. for 24mer and 660 equiv. for 32mer) in chloroform (4 volumes with respect to TMSBr). Septum was quickly replaced with well-fitting glass stopper and protected with parafilm. The reaction was left stirring for 2-4 weeks. Aliquots were collected every 7 days to monitor the progress of reaction by HPLC. For the longest oligomers (24mer and 32mer) a second portion of TMSBr (50% of initial amount) was added after 3 weeks of reaction. When the reaction was finished, it was evaporated by a careful flow of nitrogen. The flow of nitrogen out of the reaction mixture was bubbled through water to quench excess TMSBr. To the remaining solid, water was added and the mixture was stirred for 3 h. It was basified with triethylamine, stirred for 10 min and filtered using a 45 μm syringe filter. The clear yellow solution was freeze-dried and subjected to HPLC purification.

Preparative HPLC purification. Crude products (3-10 mg) were purified by preparative HPLC, using a Varian Pursuit C18 column (21 x 250 mm) equipped with a matching precolumn; detection was achieved at λ = 240 nm (preparative cell); mobile phases were as described below; gradient sequence: (0 min) 100% “A”, 0% “B” (2.1 min) 100% “A”, 0% “B” (15 min) 80% “A”, 20% “B” (20min) 0% “A”, 100% “B”; flow ramp: (0 min) 1 mL/min (0.1 min) 1 mL/min (2 min) 19 mL/min.

Mobile phases “A” and “B” were prepared as described at the beginning of section 2. Fractions collected from preparative HPLC were analyzed by analytical HPLC. The relevant fractions were combined, freeze-dried and then, twice, redissolved in water and freeze-dried to remove most of triethylamine. The estimated yields varied between 40-60% depending on the particular batch of compound and were difficult to determine precisely because of unspecified residual amounts of triethylamine and the unknown hydration state of the sample.

Ion exchange procedure. Exchange of triethylammonium to ammonium cations was performed using Dowex 50W X4 (200-400 Mesh) resin. Empty flash chromatography cartridges were filled with 7.5 mL or 22.5 mL of a resin, corresponding to 8 mmol or 24 mmol of cation exchanging capability (manufacturer data), respectively. The resin preparation/regeneration consisted in washing with 5 volumes of 2 M HCl, then 5 volumes of MilliQ water until a neutral pH is reached, then 5 volumes of 2 M ammonium acetate (bio-grade) and then with 5 volumes of MilliQ water. The resin stayed wet all the time. Compounds were introduced as solutions in 300-500 μL of MilliQ water (followed by 2 washings with 300-500 μL of water). Compounds were eluted from the column by washing with 10 or 30 mL of MilliQ water for 7.5 mL and 22.5 mL cartridges, respectively. The collected solutions were freeze dried, then remaining solids were dissolved in a small amount of water, transferred to a small vial, and freeze-dried again to afford the ammonium
salts of the oligomers. The absence of triethyl-ammonium was confirmed by $^1$H NMR. After regeneration, as described above, the resin was used again.

$^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, H$_2$O/D$_2$O [9:1 vol/vol], 50 mM NH$_4$HCO$_3$, ‘watergate’ water suppression applied at 4.71 ppm which may cause errors in the observed peak intensities in the vicinity of the suppressed peak) δ 11.90 (s, 1H), 11.70 (s, 1H), 10.09 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.41 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.01-7.34 (m, 10H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 5.25 (s, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 4.04 (d, J = 13.4 Hz), 3.91 (d, J = 21.3 Hz). $^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, H$_2$O/D$_2$O [9:1 vol/vol], 50 mM NH$_4$HCO$_3$) δ 12.30, 12.03, 11.47, 11.01. MALDI MS calculated for C$_{49}$H$_{33}$N$_8$O$_{25}$P$_4$: 1167.1 (M+H)$^+$; Found: 1168.1. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

$^{13}$C NMR data: see section 3.8.7.

**Table S7.** $^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, H$_2$O/D$_2$O [9:1 vol/vol], 50mM NH$_4$HCO$_3$) δ 11.50 (2P), 10.95, 10.84, 10.81 (2P), 10.69, 10.59. MALDI MS calculated for C$_{92}$H$_{83}$N$_{16}$O$_{17}$P$_8$: 2315 (M+H)$^+$; Found: 2317. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

$^{13}$C NMR data: see section 3.8.7.

**Table S7.** $^{31}$P NMR (122 MHz, H$_2$O/D$_2$O [9:1 vol/vol], 50mM NH$_4$HCO$_3$) δ 11.50 (2P), 10.95, 10.84, 10.81 (2P), 10.69, 10.59. MALDI MS calculated for C$_{92}$H$_{83}$N$_{16}$O$_{17}$P$_8$: 2315 (M+H)$^+$; Found: 2317. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.
1H), 4.10 (bs, 1H), 3.89-3.27 (m), 3.06 (m), 2.92 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (m), 2.55-2.35 (m, H).
MALDI MS calculated for C_{278}H_{438}N_{48}O_{122}P_{24}: 6909 (M+H)^+; Found: 6911. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

\(^{(\text{"QQ}^5)_{16}}\) ammonium salt. \(^{1}H\) NMR (700 MHz, HDO/D_{2}O [9:1 vol/vol], 50 mM NH_{3}HCO_{3}, ‘watergate’ water suppression applied at 4.69 ppm which may cause errors in the observed peak intensities in the vicinity of the suppressed peak) δ 11.43 (s, 1H), 11.14 (s, 1H), 10.41 (s, 1H), 10.05 (s, 1H), 10.02 (s, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 9.80 (s, 1H), 9.78 (s, 1H), 9.72 (s, 1H), 9.69-9.61 (m, 8H), 9.24 (s, 1H), 9.10 (s, 1H), 9.04 (s, 1H), 8.94-8.95 (m, 8H), 8.77 (s, 1H), 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.28 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.90–5.89 (m, 126H), 4.26 (s, 1H), 4.05 (s, 1H), 3.85-3.22 (m, 12H), 2.87 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.63-2.28 (m). MALDI MS calculated for C_{368}H_{625}N_{68}O_{161}P_{32}: 9207.0 (M+H)^+; Found: 9211.6. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

\(^{(\text{"QQ}^5)_{16}}\) ammonium salt. \(^{1}H\) NMR (300 MHz, HDO/D_{2}O [9:1 vol/vol], 50 mM NH_{4}HCO_{3}, ‘watergate’ water suppression applied at 4.71 ppm which may cause errors in the observed peak intensities in the vicinity of the suppressed peak) δ 11.54 (s, 1H), 10.93 (bs, 1H), 10.71 (s, 1H), 10.24 (s, 1H), 9.94 (bs, 1H), 9.72 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 9.30 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.03-7.86 (m, 5H), 7.73-6.94 (m, 20H), 6.80 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.53-6.44 (m, 3H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 6.32 (s, 1H), 5.21 (bs, 1H), 4.25 (s, 1H), 4.14-3.53 (m), 3.17-2.37 (m), 1.56 (m). \(^{31}P\) NMR (122 MHz, HDO/D_{2}O [9:1 vol/vol], 50 mM NH_{4}HCO_{3}) δ 23.59, 23.18, 23.04, 22.98, 11.29, 10.95, 10.92, 10.78. MALDI MS calculated for C_{96}H_{179}N_{15}O_{57}P_{16}: 2308 (M+H)^+; Found: 2308. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

\(^{(\text{"QQ}^5)_{16}}\) ammonium salt. \(^{1}H\) NMR (300 MHz, HDO/D_{2}O [9:1 vol/vol], 50 mM NH_{4}HCO_{3}, ‘watergate’ water suppression applied at 4.71 ppm which may cause errors in the observed peak intensities in the vicinity of the suppressed peak) δ 11.49 (s, 1H), 11.16 (s, 1H), 10.60 (s, 1H), 10.19 (s, 2H), 10.02 (s, 2H), 9.97 (s, 1H), 9.95 (s, 1H), 9.57 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 9.47 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 9.25 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 9.14 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 9.03 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 9.00 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 2H), 8.37 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.97 – 6.14 (m, 63H), 4.06 – 3.45 (m), 3.03 – 2.10 (m), 1.60 – 1.12 (m). ESI MS calculated for C_{192}H_{172}N_{32}O_{73}P_{16}: 1531 (M-3H)^3; Found: 1531; calculated for C_{192}H_{172}N_{32}O_{73}P_{16}: 1765 (M-6H)^6; Found: 1765. Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

3.8.4 Synthesis of oligomer-nucleotide conjugate

\[\text{Synthesis of S47:} \quad 5'\text{-Dimethoxytrityl-3'}\text{-deoxythymidine 2'}\text{-[(2-cyanoethyl)-(N, N-diisopropyl)]-phosphoramidite (S46, 272 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1 equiv., from M. C. de Kining et al. Org. Process Res. & Develop. 10, 1238-1245 (2006)) and N-hydroxysuccinimidyl 12-hydroxy-dodecanoate (76 mg, 1 equiv.) were introduced in a dry flask under N}_2.\) To this was added 2 mL of acetonitrile (DNA synthesis grade) and the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure on a vacuum line. The process was repeated once. Then, a solution of tetrazole in acetonitrile (2.45 mL, 0.45 M) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 40 min under N}_2. Water (87 µL, 20 equiv.) was then added and the mixture stirred for 2 min at room temperature. A solution of I}_2 (76
mg, 0.3 mmol, 3 equiv.) in 1.5 mL of THF/pyridine (3:1 vol/vol) was added dropwise and stirring was continued for 5 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (5 mL) and the organic phase was separated and washed with 1 M aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ (3 mL), 10% wt/vol aqueous KHSO₄ (2 x 3 mL), 10% wt/vol aqueous NaHCO₃ (1x3 mL) and half-saturated brine (1 x 3 mL). The aqueous phases were combined and back extracted with 3 mL EtOAc and the combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (EtOAc) yielded a colourless sticky paste (225 mg, 92%, yield).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (s, 1H), 7.58 – 7.57 (m, 1H), 7.41 – 7.26 (m, 9H), 6.89 – 6.84 (m, 4H), 6.46 (dd, J = 8.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.20 – 5.13 (m, 1H), 4.33 – 4.00 (m, 5H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 3.59 – 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.45 – 3.38 (m, 1H), 2.85 (s, 4H), 2.77 (dd, J = 9.3, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.72 – 2.58 (m, 4H), 2.51 – 2.39 (m, 1H), 1.82 – 1.61 (m, 6H), 1.47 – 1.24 (m, 15H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.22, 168.65, 163.46, 158.76, 150.27, 150.21, 143.98, 135.15, 135.03, 135.01, 134.98, 130.06, 128.09, 128.00, 127.23, 116.32, 116.26, 116.17, 113.28, 111.59, 111.56, 87.19, 84.47, 84.39, 84.20, 78.93, 78.80, 78.73, 68.96, 68.88, 68.79, 63.23, 63.18, 62.02, 61.95, 61.92, 61.85, 55.22, 39.00, 30.86, 30.12, 30.03, 29.62, 29.29, 29.17, 28.94, 28.65, 25.54, 25.25, 24.48, 19.67, 19.60, 19.57, 19.50, 11.61, 1.81. 31P NMR (CDCl₃, 122 MHz) δ: -2.46, -2.49; HRMS ESI MS calculated for C₅₀H₆₂N₄O₁₄P: 973.3995. Found: 973.3994 (M+H)*.

**Synthesis of S48:** Compound S47 (30 mg, 0.03 mmol) was dissolved in a 3 % vol/vol trichloroacetic acid solution in DCM (2 mL, 12 equiv.) and stirred at room temperature for 2 min. The solution was diluted with dichloromethane and washed with water (x 3), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated. Purification by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH 97: 3) yielded the product as a colourless sticky paste in almost quantitative yield. 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 8.81 (s, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.23-6.18 (t, J = 6.20 Hz, 1H), 5.18-5.13 (m, 1H), 4.31-4.21 (m, 3H), 4.14-4.07 (q, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.06 (bs, 2H), 2.83 (s, 3H), 2.81-2.77 (m, 2H), 2.62-2.57 (t, J = 7.45 Hz, 2H), 2.53-2.47 (m, 2H), 1.76-1.68 m, 6H), 1.39-1.25 (m, 15H). 13C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz)δ 169.3, 168.7, 163.6, 150.34, 150.31, 136.45, 116.5, 111.3, 86.2, 85.6, 85.5, 69.1, 69.0, 62.1, 62.0, 38.5, 38.4, 38.3, 30.2, 30.1, 29.28, 29.17, 29.0, 28.7, 25.6, 25.3, 24.5, 19.8, 19.7, 12.5. 31P NMR (CDCl₃, 121 MHz) δ: -2.21, -2.23. HRMS ESI MS calculated for C₂₉H₄₄N₄O₁₂P: 671.2688. Found: 671.2715 (M+H)*.
Conjugation with foldamer: \((mQQ^4)_8\) (1.3 mg, 1 equiv.) was stirred with compound S48 (0.8 mg, 5 equiv.) in a 300 µL of 1:1 mixture of DMF and 12.5 mM aqueous triethylammonium acetate (pH: 8.7) for 2 days. The reaction was monitored by RP-HPLC and the crude was purified by RP-HPLC using basic phase conditions as described in the general methods to yield S49. MALDI MS calculated for C\(_{206}\)H\(_{198}\)N\(_{34}\)O\(_{90}\)P\(_{17}\): 5116. Found: 5116 (M+H). Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

3.8.5 Synthesis of biotin-oligomer conjugates

![Biotin-oligomer conjugate](image)

**Synthesis of S50:** Unprotected \((mQQ^4)_4\) (2.5 mg, 1 equiv.) was stirred with commercially available biotin-(PEG)\(_{12}\)-OSu reagent (2.2 mg, 3 equiv.) in a 180 µL of 1:1.5 (vol/vol) mixture of water and DMF for one day. The reaction was monitored by RP-HPLC and the crude was purified by RP-HPLC using basic phase conditions as described in the general methods to yield S50 in 55% yield (1.4 mg). This compound was stored at \(-18^\circ\)C and protected from air and light to limit biotin oxidation. ESI MS calculated for C\(_{129}\)H\(_{149}\)N\(_{19}\)O\(_{56}\)P\(_8\): 3139.7. Found: 1045.9 (M-3H). Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

**Synthesis of S51:** Unprotected \((mQQ^4)_8\) (5.3 mg, 1 equiv.) was stirred with commercially available biotin-(PEG)\(_{12}\)-OSu reagent (2.3 mg, 3 equiv.) in a 360 µL of 1:3 mixture (vol/vol) of water and DMF for one day. The reaction was monitored by RP-HPLC and the crude was purified by RP-HPLC using basic phase conditions as described in the general methods to yield pure biotin-\((mQQ^4)_8\) S51 in 53% yield (2.4 mg). This compound was stored at \(-18^\circ\)C and protected from air and light to limit biotin oxidation. HRMS ESI MS calculated for C\(_{221}\)H\(_{220}\)N\(_{35}\)O\(_{96}\)P\(_{16}\)S: 5439.0522. Found: 1358.4920 (M-4H). Analytical HPLC profile: see section 3.8.7.

3.8.6 Synthesis of model phosphonate monomers

![Model phosphonate monomer](image)
**Synthesis of S52:** 4-Hydroxybenzonitrile (400 mg, 3.36 mmol) was placed in a flask equipped with a stirring bar which was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. Dry THF (5 mL) was added followed by PPh₃ (1.146 g, 4.37 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) and di-tert-butyl hydroxymethyl phosphonate (978 mg, 4.37 mmol, 1.3 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C under nitrogen and DIAD (0.9 mL, 4.37 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) was added dropwise over 40 min at 0 °C. The reaction was continued for 40 min at 0 °C, then at room temperature for 1 h, and at 50 °C for 16 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and purification of the viscous oil by silica gel chromatography eluting with cyclohexane:EtOAc 40:60 afforded compound S52 as a white solid (540 mg, 50%).

**1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)** δ 7.59 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 1.53 (s, 18H).

**13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)** δ 162.1 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1C), 134.0, 119.1, 115.6, 104.9, 83.9, 83.8, 64.8 (d, J = 174.7 Hz, 1C), 30.6, 30.5.

**31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃)** δ 9.96.

**HRMS ESI MS calculated for C₁₆H₂₄NO₄P:** 325.1443; Found: 348.1333 (M+Na)+.

**Synthesis of S53:** Compound S52 (400 mg, 1.23 mmol) was dissolved in a TFA/DCM/TIPS mixture (50:48:2 vol/vol/vol, 8 mL) and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. Completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the excess of TFA was co-evaporated with toluene (x4). Precipitation from cold Et₂O yielded S53 as a white solid (260 mg, quantitative).

**1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆)** δ 7.77 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.21 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H),

**13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)** δ 162.2 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1C), 134.1, 119.0, 115.6, 103.3, 63.9 (d, J = 160.5 Hz, 1C).

**31P NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃)** δ 13.97.

**HRMS ESI MS calculated for C₈H₈NO₄P:** 213.0191; Found: 212.0107 (M-H)-.
3.8.7 HPLC traces, $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of purified new synthetic compounds

HPLC traces of deprotected foldamers ($^{mQQ^4}_2$, ($^{mQQ^4}_4$, ($^{mQQ^4}_8$, ($^{mQQ^4}_{12}$, ($^{mQQ^4}_{16}$, ($^{mQQ^5}_4$. Separations conditions: Varian Pursuit C18 column (4.6 x 250 mm, 5 μm); UV detection at $\lambda = 240$ nm; gradient of eluents “A” and “B”, where “A” was 12.5 mM triethylammonium acetate, pH 8.7, in water, pH 8.7 and “B” was 12.5 mM triethylammonium acetate, pH 8.7, in water:acetonitrile (3:1); gradient program (0 min) 100% “A”, 0% ”B” $\rightarrow$ (2.1 min) 100% “A”, 0% ”B” $\rightarrow$ (15 min) 80% “A”, 20% “B”.

---

S75
HPLC chromatogram of purified deprotected \((\text{m}Q\text{Q})_8\) (gradient program (0 min) 100% “A”, 0% ” B” → (20 min) 75% “A”, 25% “B”; \(t_R\): 7.76 min) and of purified nucleotide-(\(\text{m}Q\text{Q})_8\) conjugate (S49) (gradient program (0 min) 100% “A”, 0% ” B” → (15 min) 80% “A”, 20% “B”; \(t_R\): 18.28 min).

HPLC traces of compounds S50, biotin-(\(\text{m}Q\text{Q}^4\))₄ and S51, biotin-(\(\text{m}Q\text{Q}^8\))₈ after exchange of triethylammonium to ammonium cations. Elution conditions: Nucleodur C18 HTec column (4 x 100 mm, 5 μm); UV detection at \(\lambda = 300\) nm; gradient of eluents “A” and “B”, where “A” was 12.5 mM triethylammonium acetate, pH 8.7, in water, and “B” was 12.5 mM triethylammonium acetate, pH 8.7, in water:acetonitrile (3:1); gradient program (0 min) 100% “A”, 0% ” B” → (2.1 min) 100% “A”, 0% ” B” → (15 min) 80% “A”, 20% “B”. 

S76
HPLC traces of compounds S28-S35. Elution conditions: Nucleodur C8 column (4.6 x 150 mm, 5 μm); UV detection at \(\lambda = 254\) nm; gradient of eluents “A” and “B”, where “A” was 0.1% (vol/vol) TFA in water and “B” was 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile; gradient program (0 min) 70% “A”, 30% “B” \(\rightarrow\) (2.1 min) 70% “A”, 30% “B” \(\rightarrow\) (20 min) 30% “A”, 70% “B”.
HPLC traces of compounds S40-S42, S44 and S45. Separations conditions are the same as for compounds S28-S35.
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The image contains three spectra labeled with different isotopes: $^1\text{H}$, $^{13}\text{C}$, and $^{31}\text{P}$. Each spectrum shows various peaks with corresponding chemical shifts. The spectra are used to analyze the structure of a molecule, with the molecule labeled as S17.
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