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Supplementary Note 1: Sample and Experimental Setup

Three qubit sample is designed to simulate the following Hamiltonian in the rotating wave approximation:

\[
\hat{H}/h = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \frac{\omega_j - \omega_{\text{in}}}{2} \hat{\sigma}_j^z + \sum_{k<j} J_{kj} (\hat{\sigma}_k^+ \hat{\sigma}_j^- + \hat{\sigma}_j^+ \hat{\sigma}_k^-) \right) 
+ (\omega_1 - \omega_{\text{in}}) \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + g_3 (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}_3^x + \hat{\sigma}_3^x \hat{a}) 
+ \frac{\Omega_{R1}}{2} (\hat{\sigma}_1^+ + \hat{\sigma}_1^-) + \frac{\Omega_{R2}}{2} (\hat{\sigma}_2^+ + \hat{\sigma}_2^-). 
\]

(1)

Here \(\hat{\sigma}_j^x, \hat{\sigma}_j^z = (\hat{\sigma}_j^x + i \hat{\sigma}_j^y)/2\) and \(\hat{\sigma}_j^- = (\hat{\sigma}_j^x - i \hat{\sigma}_j^y)/2\) are Pauli operators, \(\hat{a} (\hat{a}^\dagger)\) is the annihilation (creation) operator of the resonator’s harmonic mode with a transition frequency \(\omega_1\). \(\omega_{\text{in}}\) are qubit transition frequencies and \(\omega_{\text{in}}\) is the input microwave frequency and also the frequency of the reference frame. \(J_{kj}\) are coupling coefficients between the qubits, \(g_3\) is a coupling coefficient between \(Q_3\) and resonator and \(\Omega_{R1} (\Omega_{R1})\) is the Rabi frequency for \(Q_1 (Q_2)\).

Transmon qubits are implemented with a grounded design, similar to X-mon qubits\(^1\), to minimize the unwanted capacitive coupling between \(Q_1\) and \(Q_3\). Their arrangement (see Fig. 1b in the main text) yields capacitive coupling rates of \(J_{12}/2\pi = 83.6\,\text{MHz}\), \(J_{23}/2\pi = 33.4\,\text{MHz}\) and an order of magnitude smaller \(J_{13}/2\pi = 3.67\,\text{MHz}\). All reported qubit parameters are determined at the qubit transition frequency of \(\omega/2\pi = 6.28\,\text{GHz}\). When extracting individual qubit parameters the other two qubits are detuned by at least 1.5 GHz. The measured maximum transition frequencies between the ground \(|g\rangle\) and first excited state \(|e\rangle\) are \(\omega_1^{\text{max}}/2\pi = 6.948\,\text{GHz}\), \(\omega_2^{\text{max}}/2\pi = 6.694\,\text{GHz}\) and \(\omega_3^{\text{max}}/2\pi = 7.271\,\text{GHz}\) for the three qubits and their anharmonicities of the first-to-second excited state are \(\alpha_1/2\pi = -140\,\text{MHz}\), \(\alpha_2/2\pi = -142\,\text{MHz}\) and \(\alpha_3/2\pi = -137\,\text{MHz}\). The spectroscopically measured pure dephasing rates of \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) are \(\gamma_1^0/2\pi = 115\,\text{kHz}\) and \(\gamma_2^0/2\pi = 82\,\text{kHz}\). \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) are coupled to an open waveguide (transmission line) with coupling rates \(\gamma_1/2\pi = 6.57\,\text{MHz}\) and \(\gamma_2/2\pi = 7.39\,\text{MHz}\). \(Q_3\) is coupled to a \(\lambda/2\) resonator with coupling coefficient \(g/2\pi \approx 90\,\text{MHz}\). The uncoupled resonator has a fundamental frequency of \(\omega_0/2\pi = 6.00\,\text{GHz}\) and a loaded quality factor of \(Q_L \approx Q_{\text{ext}} \approx 55\) dominated by the external coupling. The transition frequencies of the three qubits are tuned by magnetic flux \(\Phi_i\),

\[
\omega_i(\Phi_i) \simeq (\omega_i^{\text{max}} - \alpha_i) \sqrt{\cos(\pi \Phi_i/\Phi_0)} + \alpha_i, 
\]

(2)

where \(\Phi_0\) is the flux quantum, for \(i = 1 - 3\) qubits. Magnetic flux is generated by applying DC currents to two flux lines (FL1, FL2) located close to the SQUID loops of \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) and to a superconducting coil coupled globally to all three qubits. Individual qubit frequency control is obtained by inverting the flux coupling matrix and applying appropriate currents.

Coherent microwave radiation (RF) generated at room temperature by a commercial source is thermalized and attenuated at the 4 K, 100 mK and 11 mK stages of dilution refrigerator and applied to the sample at port 1 of the waveguide (see schematic diagram in Supplementary Fig. 1). Radiation emitted from the waveguide and from the resonator is amplified with high-electron-mobility transistor (HEMT) amplifiers at 4 K followed by a chain of ultralow-noise (ULN) and low-noise (LN) amplifiers at room temperature. Three isolators are inserted between the sample and the HEMT amplifier to suppress the amplifier input noise propagating back to the sample. The radiation emitted from port 2 of the waveguide is filtered with a band-pass filter (BPF). The amplified signals are down-converted to an intermediate frequency (IF) of 250 MHz with an IQ mixer using a local oscillator (LO) tone and then digitized with an analog-to-digital converter (ADC). The digital signal in the measurement bandwidth of 250 MHz is then processed by a field-programmable-gate-array (FPGA) which determines the amplitude and the power spectral density of the signal\(^3\). Typically 2\(^{24}\) \(\approx 16 \times 10^9\) samples are collected in about 15 min to obtain a single power spectral density \(S(\omega)\) measurement. The low frequency noise is generated by an arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) and combined with the DC bias using a bias tee with a low-frequency cutoff of 5 kHz and then applied to FL2 (see Supplementary Fig. 1). Instead of an AWG we could use, for example, a heated resistor to generate low frequency white noise. However, AWG offers a unique advantage of in-situ control of both amplitude and shape of the noise power spectrum and has been used previously to create quasi thermal noise in circuit QED experiments\(^4\).
Supplementary Figure 1: Schematic of the experimental setup with complete wiring of electronic components inside and outside of the dilution refrigerator. Color code is the same as in Fig. 1b in the main text.

**Supplementary Note 2:**
**Description of Symmetric and Antisymmetric superposition of Q₁ and Q₂**

On resonance, Q₁ and Q₂ excited states \(|q₁⟩\) and \(|q₂⟩\) form symmetric and antisymmetric (bright and dark) states \(|b⟩ = (|q₁⟩ + |q₂⟩)/\sqrt{2}\) and \(|d⟩ = (|q₁⟩ − |q₂⟩)/\sqrt{2}\). The formation of the hybridized states is observed as an avoided crossing in measurements of the transmission coefficient \(t_{21}\) through the waveguide for magnetic flux chosen such that the bare frequencies of Q₁ and Q₂ cross, indicated by the black dashed lines in Supplementary Fig. 2a. Fully hybridized |b⟩ and |d⟩ states at zero detuning between Q₁ and Q₂ \((Δ_{12} = 0)\) are separated in energy by \(2J_{12}\) (Supplementary Fig. 2b). We fit the measured transmission coefficient at the point of maximal hybridization using the expression

\[
t = 1 - \frac{γ_r}{γ_r + 2γ_φ} \frac{1 - iΔ}{γ_r/2 + γ_φ} + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{Δ}{γ_r/2 + γ_φ}\right)^2 + \frac{Ω_R^2}{γ_r(γ_r/2 + γ_φ)}}, \tag{3}
\]

with detuning \(Δ = ω_i − ω_{in}\), excitation frequency \(ω_{in}\) and Rabi rate \(Ω_R\). From the fit we extract the radiative decay rate \(γ_r\), dominated by the coupling rate to the waveguide, and the pure dephasing rate \(γ_φ\) for both states. Here we neglect the non-radiative decay. We find a higher frequency bright state coupling rate of \(γ_b/2π = 12.44\) MHz and pure dephasing rate of \(γ_b^φ/2π = 0.38\) MHz and a lower frequency subradiant state coupling rate of \(γ_d/2π = 0.29\) MHz and comparable pure dephasing rate of \(γ_d^φ/2π = 0.55\) MHz.

**Supplementary Note 3:**
**Characterization of Q₃ and its Purcell Decay**

We tune the Q₃ decay rate by adjusting the \(|q₃⟩\) transition frequency detuning from the extraction resonator frequency. When the resonator decay rate is large, the radiative decay rate of the qubit is enhanced by the Pur-
Supplementary Figure 2: Q1, Q2 avoided crossing. a Measured frequency dependent transmission coefficient |t_{21}| of the waveguide as a function of magnetic flux. |q_1⟩ and |q_2⟩ transition frequencies linearly cross (black dashed lines). |q_3⟩ is detuned by more than 1.5 GHz. b |t_{21}| measurement as a function of frequency ν at φ = 49 [vertical dashed line in a] where |q_1⟩ and |q_2⟩ are maximally hybridized.

Supplementary Figure 3: Purcell decay of Q3. a Frequency dependent reflection coefficient |t_{44}| as a function of magnetic flux where the |q_3⟩ transition frequency ω_3 is swept linearly and the resonator fundamental frequency ω_0 is fixed (displayed as black dashed lines). The gray dashed line corresponds to the |q_3⟩ transition frequency ω_3/2π = 6.198 GHz shown in Fig. 2b. b Q3 Purcell decay rate γ_{Pur} as a function of Q3 transition frequency extracted from the reflection coefficient measurements |t_{44}|. The resonator frequency ω_0/2π = 6.00 GHz is indicated with a black dashed line. The solid line is a fit to Eq. (4). The light blue area indicates the frequency range in a and the vertical gray dashed line indicates the |q_3⟩ transition frequency, similar as in a.

Supplementary Note 4: Rabi Rate and PSD Calibration

The Rabi rate Ω_R of the coherently driven bright |b⟩ state was determined from measurements of bright state resonance fluorescence power spectra S_2(ω) (Supplementary Fig. 4). To obtain the Rabi rate Ω_R/2π = 14 MHz for the microwave powers used in our experiments we fit the resonance fluorescence spectrum to the Mollow triplet expression assuming negligible pure dephasing and non-radiative decay. For larger applied microwave powers, the full Mollow triplet of the bright state emerges with resolved side peaks. We determine the Rabi rate Ω_R for these amplitudes from the frequency splitting between the central and the side peaks when fitting the spectra.
Supplementary Figure 4: Mollow triplet of the bright state. Measured power spectral density (PSD) $S_2(\omega)$ of the bright state resonance fluorescence emission spectrum at the output of the waveguide for indicated coherent microwave amplitudes given in terms of the extracted bright state transition frequency $\nu_b$. The microwave drive frequency was set to the bright state transition frequency $\nu_b$. Solid lines are fits to the Mollow triplet spectrum$^9$ for $\Omega_R/2\pi = 11.8$ MHz and to the sum of three Lorentzian functions for $\Omega_R/2\pi = 26.1$ and 42.1 MHz.

with three Lorentzian lines. Fits to the data are shown with solid lines in Supplementary Fig. 4.

In order to calibrate the amplitude of the measured power spectral densities we performed the same power spectrum measurement on Q2 with all other qubits detuned by more than 1.5 GHz. For strong coherent drive, when the qubit is saturated, and assuming that pure dephasing and non-radiative decay rates are negligible the integrated power of the measured Mollow triplet is equal to $\gamma_1/2$ photons per unit time. This allows us to express the magnitude of measured PSD in Photons s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$.

Supplementary Note 5: Noise Generation

Low frequency noise is generated from a filtered random number time series consisting of $16 \cdot 10^6$ values. The bandwidth of the generated noise spans from 75 Hz to 600 MHz. The time series with a desired power spectral density $S(\omega) = 1$ and then applying a finite impulse response filter (FIR) with the frequency response function $H(\omega)$. We compensate AWG signal digitization distortions by pre-equalizing the digital noise series with an additional filter, constructed from the AWG output spectrum of an ideal white noise digital signal measured using a spectrum analyzer.

In this work we consider two distinct power spectral densities: (i) white noise with an exponential cutoff based on the Fermi-Dirac distribution

$$S_W(\omega) = \frac{A_W}{1 + e^{\nu_c/\Delta \omega}},$$

where $A_W$ is the amplitude of the function constant up to an exponential cutoff at $\omega_c/2\pi = 325$ MHz with a characteristic width $\Delta \omega/2\pi = 5.44$ MHz. (ii) Noise with a Lorentzian power spectral density:

$$S_L(\omega) = \frac{A_L}{1 + (\omega - \omega_L)^2/\Delta \omega^2},$$

where $A_L$ is the amplitude, $\omega_L/2\pi = 0 - 300$ MHz is the variable center frequency and $\Delta \omega_L/2\pi = 10$ MHz is the full width at half maximum. The noise power spectral densities in Fig. 2c in the main text are measured with a spectrum analyzer at the output of the AWG.

Supplementary Note 6: Pure Dephasing Rate Calibration

White noise applied to Q2 increases the pure dephasing rate of $|q_2\rangle$ and consequently that of bright $|b\rangle$ and dark $|d\rangle$ states. The bright state pure dephasing rate $\gamma_{b2}$ is determined from the resonance fluorescence power spectrum of the bright mode measured through the waveguide $S_2(\omega)$ for indicated applied noise powers $\Phi_W$ (see Supplementary Fig. 5a). Measured spectra are fitted to the Mollow triplet expression$^9$ with the pure dephasing rate $\gamma_{b2}$ as a free parameter and fixed center frequency $\omega_0$, Rabi rate $\Omega_R$ and decay rate $\gamma_b$. The extracted pure dephasing rate $\gamma_{b2}$ (see Supplementary Fig. 5b) shows an initial linear increase with applied white noise power $\Phi_W$ as expected for ideal Markovian white power spectral density PSD$^{11}$. Deviations from the linear dependence at higher noise powers originate from the finite cutoff of the engineered white noise (see Fig. 2c in the main text). This is corroborated by an excellent agreement between data and numerically calculated pure dephasing rate$^{11}$ using noise power spectral density with a finite cutoff frequency (solid line in Supplementary Fig. 5b).

Supplementary Note 7: White Noise PSD Analysis

We analyze power spectral densities $S_4(\omega)$ measured at the resonator as a function of applied environmental white noise power (see Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 6a) by fitting the spectra with a sum of two Lorentzian functions

$$F(\nu) = \frac{a_1}{1 + 4 \left(\frac{\nu - \nu_{01}}{\Delta \nu_1}\right)^2} + \frac{a_2}{1 + 4 \left(\frac{\nu - \nu_{02}}{\Delta \nu_2}\right)^2}.$$  

Here $a_i$ is the amplitude, $\nu_{0i}$ the center frequency and $\Delta \nu_i$ the full width at half maximum of the $i$-th Lorentzian.
Supplementary Figure 5: Bright state dephasing rate. a Measured power spectral density $S_d(\omega)$ of the bright mode at port 2 of the waveguide for indicated environmental applied white noise powers $\Phi_W^2$. Solid lines are fits to the Mollow-triplet spectrum\textsuperscript{2}, see text. b Pure dephasing rate $\gamma_b^p$ of the bright mode as a function of white noise power $\Phi_W^2$ obtained from the fitted bright state resonance fluorescence spectra. The solid curve is a numerical calculation of the pure dephasing rate $\gamma_b^p$ for the white noise power spectral density with a finite frequency cutoff at 325 MHz (see Fig. 2c in the main text).

For higher noise powers, for which the two peaks are not resolved anymore, we fit the data to a single Lorentzian. The two resonances centered near the spectroscopically determined transition frequencies for low white noise powers gradually shift towards the bare $|q_3\rangle$ transition frequency $\nu_3$ (Supplementary Fig. 6b). At the same time the linewidth of the lower frequency resonance corresponding to $|d_1\rangle$ significantly broadens while the one corresponding to $|d_2\rangle$ remains unchanged (see Supplementary Fig. 6c). The different dependence of the $|d_1\rangle$ and $|d_2\rangle$ resonances on the applied noise is attributed to an imperfect hybridization of the $|d\rangle$ and $|q_3\rangle$ states. From the analyzed data we determine the crossover from the strong to the weak coupling regime to occur at $\Phi_W^2 = 3.0 \pm 1.0$ pWB\textsuperscript{2} corresponding to $\gamma_b^p/2\pi = 50 \pm 10$ MHz. This value is comparable to the $2J_{d3}/2\pi = 37$ MHz, which is in agreement with the crossover from strong to weak coupling as discussed in the main text.

Supplementary Figure 6: Spectra of $|d_1\rangle$ and $|d_2\rangle$ dark states. a Power spectral density $S_d(\omega)$ at the resonator output port 4 for applied environmental white noise powers $\Phi_W^2$ ranging from 0 to 8.1 pWB\textsuperscript{2}. Black solid curves show two selected fits to the data using two Lorentzian functions ($\Phi_W^2 = 0.6$ pWB\textsuperscript{2}) and a single Lorentzian function ($\Phi_W^2 = 6.1$ pWB\textsuperscript{2}). Vertical dashed lines indicate spectroscopically determined transition frequencies of $|d_1\rangle (\nu_{d1} = 6.179$ GHz), $|d_2\rangle (\nu_{d2} = 6.216$ GHz) and $|q_3\rangle (\nu_{q3} = 6.198$ GHz) states. b Lorentzian center frequencies as a function of white noise power $\Phi_W^2$ from the fits (red, blue and green dots). Horizontal dashed lines mark $|d_1\rangle$, $|d_2\rangle$ and $|q_3\rangle$ transition frequencies as in a. c Lorentzian full width at half maximum (fwhm) as a function of white noise power $\Phi_W^2$ determined from fitting the power spectral densities indicated in a. Solid lines in b and c are results from master equation simulations.

Supplementary Note 8: Master Equation

Unitary dynamics of three coupled qubits where $Q_3$ is coupled to an extraction resonator and $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are driven by a coherent tone applied to a waveguide is described by the Hamiltonian given in Eq. 1. In the case of ideal hybridization between $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ ($\omega_1 = \omega_2$) the Hamiltonian can be written in the bright and dark state bases as

$$\hat{H}/\hbar = \sum_{j=b,d}^{} (\omega_j - \omega_{in}) \hat{a}_j^+ \hat{a}_j + (\omega_r - \omega_{in}) \hat{a}_d^+ \hat{a}_d$$

$$+ J_{b3} (\hat{a}_d^+ \hat{a}_3^- + \hat{a}_d^- \hat{a}_3^+) - J_{d3} (\hat{a}_d^+ \hat{a}_3^- + \hat{a}_d^- \hat{a}_3^+)$$

$$+ g (\hat{a}_d^+ \hat{a}_3^- + \hat{a}_d^- \hat{a}_3^+) + \Omega_b (\hat{a}_d^+ + \hat{a}_d^-),$$

where $\hat{a}_b^\pm = (\hat{a}_1^\pm + \hat{a}_3^\pm)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\hat{a}_d^\pm = (\hat{a}_1^\pm - \hat{a}_3^\pm)/\sqrt{2}$ are bright and dark state creation and annihilation operators, $\omega_b = \omega_1 + J_{12}$, $\omega_d = \omega_1 - J_{12}$ are bright and
dark state transition frequencies, \( J_{b3} = (J_{23} + J_{13})/\sqrt{2} \) and \( J_{d3} = (J_{23} - J_{13})/\sqrt{2} \) are coupling rates between Q3 and bright, and Q3 and dark state, respectively, and \( \Omega_{R1} = \sqrt{2} \Omega_{R1} \) is the bright state Rabi frequency.

The full dynamics including the non-unitary terms is given by the Lindblad equation

\[
\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}(\rho),
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density matrix and \( \mathcal{L}(\rho) \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}(\rho) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \rho] + \gamma_b (1 + n_{th}) \sigma_b^- \rho + \gamma_b n_{th} \sigma_b^+ \rho \\
+ \gamma_d (1 + n_{th}) \sigma_d^+ \rho + \gamma_d n_{th} \sigma_d^- \rho \\
+ \frac{\gamma_\phi}{2} L(\sigma_2^- \sigma_2^- - \sigma_2^- \sigma_2^+) \rho \\
+ \kappa L(\rho).
\]

Here \( L(\sigma) \) is the Lindblad superoperator

\[
L(\sigma) \rho = \hat{\sigma} \rho \hat{\sigma}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{\sigma}^\dagger \hat{\sigma} \rho + \rho \hat{\sigma}^\dagger \hat{\sigma} \right)
\]

and \( n_{th} < 0.01 \), a typical thermal occupation for our experiments.

**Supplementary Note 9:**

**Numerical Simulations of Master Equation**

Simulations of power spectral densities \( S_2(\omega) \) at the waveguide output port 2 and the resonator \( S_4(\omega) \) at port 4 as well as the integrated power at the waveguide \( P_2 \), the resonator \( P_4 \) and the transfer efficiency \( \eta \) are performed with QuTiP 3.1.0\textsuperscript{12}. All simulations are done using the Lindblad master equation, which is sufficient for time independent decay channels, as well as the Bloch-Redfield master equation to account for the finite noise frequency cutoff (see Fig. 2c). Both methods yield identical results confirming that the noise PSD cutoff frequency (\( \approx 325 \) MHz) is high enough for the interaction between our circuit and the environment to be considered in the Markovian approximation.

Waveguide and resonator spectra are calculated for the steady state \( \dot{\rho} = 0 \) via two-time correlation functions

\[
S(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle A(\tau)B(0) \rangle e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau.
\]

As a proxy for the waveguide emission we use the bright state \( |b\rangle \) correlation function \( \langle \hat{\sigma}_b^+ (\tau) \hat{\sigma}_b^- (0) \rangle \) and for the resonator emission we use \( \langle \hat{a}^\dagger (\tau) \hat{a} (0) \rangle \). The correct magnitude is achieved by multiplication with the respective radiative decay rates \( \gamma_b/2 \) and \( \kappa \), where the factor \( 1/2 \) for the \( \gamma_b \) reflects the detection of only half of the photons emitted into the waveguide when measured only at port 2 and omitting port 1

\[
S_2(\omega) \approx \frac{\gamma_b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{\sigma}_b^+ (\tau) \hat{b}^- (0) \rangle e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau,
\]

\[
S_4(\omega) = \kappa \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{a}^\dagger (\tau) \hat{a} (0) \rangle e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau.
\]

As in the experimental analysis we obtain the full power as an integral of the power spectral density over frequency.

For the simulations shown in Fig. 3b and Figs. 4a,c, in the main text we use system parameters specified in Supplementary Table 1. In Figs. 4a,c of the main text the data is plotted against the bright state pure dephasing rate, which is related to the Q2 pure dephasing rate as \( \gamma_\phi = \gamma_b / 2 \) assuming that the bright state is an equal superposition of \( |q_1\rangle \) and \( |q_2\rangle \).

To reproduce experimental results for incoherent excitation a Lindblad master equation was solved without the last two terms in Eq. (1). A thermal occupation of \( n_{th} = 0.3 \) was used to compute the integrated re-emitted \( P_2 \) and extracted \( P_4 \) powers as well as the transport efficiency \( \eta \) shown with solid lines in Fig. 4c.

**Supplementary Note 10:**

**Rate Equations**

The rate equations for the populations of the bright \( |b\rangle \) state \( \rho_b = \rho_{bb} \), dark \( |d\rangle \) state \( \rho_d = \rho_{dd} \) and \( |q_3\rangle \) state \( \rho_3 = \rho_{q3} \) are derived from the Lindblad equation of motion [Eq. (9)] where the coupling of Q3 to the resonator is approximated by an effective Purcell decay. The incoherent dephasing \( \gamma_\phi / 2 L(\sigma_3^2) \rho \), with \( \gamma_\phi = 2 \gamma_\phi^* \) leads to a decay of all coherences involving Q2

\[
\dot{\rho}_{i2} = -\gamma_\phi \rho_{i2} \quad \forall i \neq 2.
\]
In the bright/dark state basis this operator describes incoherent transport $\gamma_b^1 \{ L[\sigma_k^e \sigma_d^e] + L[\sigma_d^e \sigma_k^e] \}$ between $|d\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ towards an equilibrium population determined by

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\rho_{bb} - \rho_{dd}) = -2 \gamma_b \langle \rho_{bb} - \rho_{dd} \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The bright state population $\dot{\rho}_{bb} \propto \Omega_R \text{Im}(\rho_{gb})$ is reduced by incoherent transport since the coherence between the ground and the bright state $\rho_{gb}$ evolves as

$$\dot{\rho}_{gb} \propto \frac{\Omega_R^2}{2} (\rho_{kg} - \rho_{bb}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Thus absorption is reduced by dephasing when $\gamma_b^1 \gtrsim \Omega_R$. In the steady state the bright state population can be written as

$$\dot{\rho}_{bb} \propto \frac{\Omega_R^2}{2} (\rho_{kg} - \rho_{bb}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The coherent population transfer from $|d\rangle$ to $|q_3\rangle$ is defined by their coherence

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\rho_{dd} - \rho_{d3}) \propto -4J_{d3}\text{Im}(\rho_{d3}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

which in turn is controlled by the coherent coupling and the incoherent dephasing

$$\dot{\rho}_{d3} \propto -iJ_{d3}(\rho_{d3} - \rho_{dd}) + \rho_{gb} - \frac{\gamma_{Pur} + 2\gamma_b}{2} \rho_{d3}. $$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

The scale at which coherent transport is expected to be reduced due to noise is $\gamma_b^1 \gtrsim J_{d3}$. To derive the Förster transport rates $k_{gb}$ between the ground $|g\rangle$ and the bright state $|b\rangle$ and the dark $|d\rangle$ and $|q_3\rangle$ state $k_{d3}$ in the strong dephasing limit we assume that coherences are small, if they are not participating in transport, and that derivatives of coherences are negligible. For $\rho_{db} \approx 0$ and $\dot{\rho}_{d3} = \dot{\rho}_{gb} = 0$ we therefore have

$$\rho_{d3} = \frac{-J_{d3}}{\gamma_{Pur}/2 + \gamma_b^1} (\rho_{d3} - \rho_{dd}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

$$\rho_{gb} = \frac{\Omega_R}{\gamma_b + 2\gamma_b^1} (\rho_{kg} - \rho_{bb}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

With the help of the above expressions we find the rate equations

$$\dot{\rho}_b = -k_{gb}(\rho_b - \rho_b) + \gamma_b \rho_b + \gamma_{Pur} \rho_3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

$$\dot{\rho}_d = k_{db}(\rho_d - \rho_d) + \gamma_b \rho_d + \gamma_{Pur} \rho_3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

$$\dot{\rho}_d = k_{d3}(\rho_d - \rho_3) + \gamma_{Pur} \rho_3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

$$\dot{\rho}_d = k_{d3}(\rho_d - \rho_3) + \gamma_{Pur} \rho_3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

for $|g\rangle$, $|b\rangle$, $|d\rangle$ and $|q_3\rangle$ populations with transfer rates

$$k_{gb} = \frac{\Omega_R^2}{\gamma_b + 2\gamma_b^1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$$k_{d3} = \frac{4J_{d3}^2}{\gamma_{Pur} + 2\gamma_b^1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

$$k_{bd} = \gamma_b^1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

where state populations are bound by $p_i \in [0, 1]$. Since $\gamma_b \approx \gamma_{Pur}$ and $\Omega_R \ll 2J_{d3}$, the reduction of absorption for increasing $\gamma_b^1$ happens before the complete decoupling of $|d\rangle$ and $|q_3\rangle$. From Eqs. (21) and (22) we see that population transfer between $|d\rangle$ and $|q_3\rangle$ is always coherent although $|d\rangle$ is populated incoherently and the transfer is suppressed by the dephasing rate $\gamma_b^1$.

Transfer efficiency is calculated using steady state solutions of Eqs. (23)-(26) as

$$\eta = \frac{\gamma_{Pur} \rho_3}{\gamma_{Pur} \rho_3 + \gamma_b \rho_b},$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

where we assumed $\rho_b = 1$. The efficiency has a maximum at $\gamma_b^1 = \sqrt{2}J_{d3} \approx J_{23}$ where it can be expressed as

$$\eta = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_{Pur}}{J_{d3}}} + \gamma_b \gamma_{Pur} + \frac{\gamma_{Pur} \gamma_b}{4J_{d3}}}. $$ \hspace{1cm} (31)

Assuming that $2J_{d3} \gg \gamma_b, \gamma_{Pur}$, the efficiency can be written as

$$\eta \approx (1 - \gamma_b \gamma_{Pur}), $$ \hspace{1cm} (32)

as stated in the main text.

**Supplementary Note 11:**

**Dephasing Rate due to Lorentzian Noise**

Flux noise acting on $Q_2$ leads to an effective dephasing of the qubit with a dephasing rate depending on the noise power spectrum. In this section, we consider the effect of Lorentzian flux noise, in particular how it differs from white flux noise, and the emergence of non-Markovian effects. We study the essential physics with a single qubit model for $Q_2$ alone, described by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{\omega_2}{2} \sigma_z^2 + \xi(t) \sigma_z^2. $$ \hspace{1cm} (33)

Here, $\omega_2$ is the qubit transition frequency and $\xi(t)$ represents the input flux noise with power spectral density $S_{\xi\xi}(\omega)$,

$$\langle \xi(t) \xi(0) \rangle = 0, \quad S_{\xi\xi}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \ e^{-i\omega \tau} \langle \xi(\tau) \xi(0) \rangle \hspace{1cm} (34)$$

In the experiment, $S_{\xi\xi}(\omega)$ is either a flat spectrum up to a certain cutoff frequency $S_{\xi\xi}(\omega) \ [\text{Eq. (5)}]$ or a Lorentzian spectrum $S_{\xi\xi}(\omega) \ [\text{Eq. (6)}]$. 


The Hamiltonian [Eq. (33)] is non-demolition with respect to $\sigma_Z^2$ since $[H_2, \sigma_Z^2] = 0$, but leads to an effective decay of $\langle \sigma_Z^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_X^2 \rangle$ components, which we derive next. The effective dephasing rate is extracted by studying the dynamics of these observables. Moving into a frame rotating at $\omega_2$, we write the Heisenberg equations of motion for these operators as

$$\dot{\sigma}_Z^2 = -2\xi(t)\sigma_Z^2, \quad (35)$$

$$\dot{\sigma}_X^2 = +2\xi(t)\sigma_X^2. \quad (36)$$

The above coupled system is formally solved to obtain a single dynamical equation for $\sigma_Z^2(t)$. Averaging this equation under noise realizations, we arrive at

$$\dot{\sigma}_Z^2(t) = -4 \int_0^t d\tau \langle \xi(t)\xi(t-\tau) \rangle \sigma_Z^2(t-\tau). \quad (37)$$

Note that the noise autocorrelation appears in the above memory kernel; it is then possible to proceed via a Markovian approximation provided the noise correlations decay much faster than the relaxation dynamics of the system, which are themselves driven by the noise. We make this condition precise in a self-consistent way. Assuming Markovian approximation, we can drop the system’s dependence on its past history via the memory kernel and extend the integral’s upper limit to infinity, thus obtaining

$$\dot{\sigma}_Z^2(t) = -4\sigma_Z^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau \langle \xi(\tau)\xi(0) \rangle. \quad (38)$$

The remaining integral is simply half the zero frequency power spectral density of the noise signal (ignoring the principle part that leads to a Lamb shift contribution, not dephasing), so that

$$\dot{\sigma}_Z^2(t) = -2S_\xi(0) \cdot \sigma_Z^2. \quad (39)$$

Hence, the noise signal drives system decay at a rate $2S_\xi(0)$ within the Markovian approximation. For white noise, which is $\delta$-correlated, correlations always decay faster than the induced decay. Using $S_\xi(0)$ for white noise, the dephasing rate $\gamma_\phi$ is given by:

$$\gamma_\phi = 2A_W \quad (40)$$

More interesting is the case of Lorentzian noise. Eq. (39) yields a decay rate $\gamma_L$ for this case as well, so long as the Lorentzian noise ‘appears’ white, namely when the correlation time of the Lorentzian noise signal is much shorter than the time scale of the decay it induces, $1/\gamma_L$. Since the Lorentzian noise correlation time is on the order of its inverse bandwidth $1/\Delta\omega_L$, we require $1/\Delta\omega_L \ll 1/\gamma_L$, or $\Delta\omega_L \gg \gamma_L$. If this is the case, the dephasing rate is given by

$$\gamma_L = 2A_L \frac{(\Delta\omega_L)^2}{\omega_L^2 + (\Delta\omega_L)^2}, \quad \Delta\omega_L \gg \gamma_L. \quad (41)$$

Clearly, the dephasing rate is reduced compared to the white noise value for the same noise amplitudes ($A_W = A_L$). This is due to the colored noise spectrum which is not in fact equal at all frequencies.

However, we caution that the above expression holds only when the Lorentzian noise bandwidth is much larger than the induced decay rate, $\Delta\omega_L \gg \gamma_L$. In the current experiment, this condition is not met and the Markovian approximation should not hold. To observe dynamics in this regime for the simple single qubit model, we numerically compute the noise-averaged dynamics of $\langle \sigma_Z^2 \rangle$ as governed by $H_2$, over multiple realizations of the noise $\xi(t)$. By varying the bandwidth $\Delta\omega_L$ of the Lorentzian noise, we are able to explore both Markovian and non-Markovian regimes. For each $\Delta\omega_L$, the noise amplitude $A$ is chosen such that the decay rate within the Markovian
Supplementary Figure 8: Extracted power $P_4$ as a function of center frequency $\nu_L$ of Lorentzian noise spectrum. This measurement was performed at Lorentzian noise power $\Phi^2_L = 0.016$ pWb$^2$ and coherent excitation. Orange solid line is a fit to a sum of two Lorentzians.

approximation has the fixed value $\gamma_L/2\pi = 1.6$ MHz. The dynamics of $\langle \sigma^x(t) \sigma^x(0) \rangle$ (solid line in Supplementary Fig. 7) for $\Delta \omega_L/2\pi = \{10, 100, 1000\}$ MHz increasing from left to right is approximately an exponential decay. As $\Delta \omega_L$ becomes large in comparison to $\gamma_L$ - that is, when the noise correlation time $1/\Delta \omega_L$ becomes increasingly short relative to the noise-induced system relaxation time $1/\gamma_L$ - the Lorentzian noise-induced dynamics approach those predicted within the Markovian approximation (open squares in Supplementary Fig. 7). Due to its non-Markovian effects Eq. (39) does not exactly describe the decay of coherence for the Lorentzian noise, however, it offers a meaningful estimation that is used when comparing the effects of the two types of noise.

Supplementary Note 12: Numerical Simulations with Lorentzian Noise

Lorentzian noise spectra employed in the discussed experiments have bandwidths $\Delta \omega_L$ that are on the order of, or smaller than, the pertinent circuit decay rates. This implies that noise autocorrelation decay times surpass typical system relaxation timescales. As such, a noise environment structured in this way can give rise to non-Markovian dynamics of the system density matrix: the system’s state at time $t$ can be affected by its history over a time set by the autocorrelation time of the applied noise. Note that this is the case even if the noise signal itself is entirely independent of the system evolution, as in the present setup, where the noise is algorithmically generated. Integrating out the Lorentzian noise signal yields complex memory kernels that cannot be collapsed, unlike the case for Markovian dynamics. Our approach incorporates the Lorentzian noise environment as part of the system dynamics. In this way, we may still employ a Lindblad master equation for simulations of the system density matrix, at the cost of having to deal with a stochastic term describing the system’s evolution.

To proceed, we add to the system Hamiltonian in Eq. (6) a modulation of the $Q_2$ energy splitting, given by the time series $\xi(t)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_b = \xi(t) \sigma^z_2 \equiv \xi_0 \cos(\omega_L t + \phi(t)) \sigma^z_2$$

(42)

Here, $\phi(t)$ is a random variable describing phase noise, characterised by its statistical mean and variance:

$$\langle \phi \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \phi^2 \rangle = \Delta \omega_L t$$

(43)

The variance being linear in time indicates that the phase undergoes diffusion, with the parameter $\Delta \omega_L$ characterizing the strength of this diffusion. The phase noise $\phi(t)$ is often referred to as Brownian noise or a Wiener process in other contexts and is the integral of Gaussian white noise. $\xi(t)$ has a Lorentzian power spectral density

$$S_{\xi\xi}(\omega) = \xi_0^2 \frac{\Delta \omega_L}{(\omega - \omega_L)^2 + (\Delta \omega_L/2)^2}$$

(44)

with a constant integrated power proportional to $\xi_0^2$ (independent of the value of $\Delta \omega_L$). Note that $S_{\xi\xi}(\omega)$ is written for $\omega > 0$; a symmetrical contribution exists for negative frequencies since $\xi(t)$ is a classical signal. Finally, note that taking $\Delta \omega_L \to 0$ formally yields a coherent modulation of the $Q_2$ energy splitting at frequency $\omega_L$.

For small $\xi_0$ we solve the master equation, Eq. (9), with the addition of $\mathcal{H}_b$ to the system Hamiltonian. The cost of adding a stochastic term to the system evolution is that any physical quantity must be computed via an explicit averaging procedure. In the Markovian approximation, an equivalent procedure is implicitly carried out when ‘tracing out the bath’. For a given set of system parameters, we propagate the Master equation to long times to obtain an approximate steady state density matrix $\rho_{ss}$. Then, steady state correlation functions are computed starting with the system in $\rho_{ss}$. To obtain meaningful results, these computations are repeated over multiple realizations of $\xi(t)$; the relevant resonator and transmission line power spectra are then given respectively by:

$$S_2(\omega) = \frac{\gamma_L}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{-i\omega\tau} \langle \sigma_0^x(\tau) - \langle \sigma_0^x \rangle_{ss} \rangle \langle \sigma_0^x(0) - \langle \sigma_0^x \rangle_{ss} \rangle \phi$$

$$S_4(\omega) = \kappa \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{-i\omega\tau} \langle a(\tau) a(0) \rangle \phi$$

(45)

(46)

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ indicates an ensemble average over multiple realizations of $\phi(t)$. The subtraction of steady state averages from the bright state correlation function serves to remove the Rayleigh scattered peak from the transmission spectrum.

For stationary problems, a variety of methods exist to compute the above power spectra directly in the frequency domain, foregoing the need for a Fourier transform. Such techniques do not apply here, following inclusion of the explicitly time-dependent Lorentzian noise
Supplementary Note 13: Effective Qubit-Environment coupling

Engineered noise with Lorentzian PSD emulates coupling of Q₂ to a classical phononic mode at center frequency ω₀ and spectral width Δω_L. We can estimate the effective qubit-environment coupling by decomposing the applied flux into a large static and a small fluctuating component [Φ(t) = Φ₀ + ΔΦ(t)]. Using Eq. (2) the qubit transition energy can be decomposed as

\[ H_q/h = \omega(t)\sigma_2^\pm = \omega_0\sigma_2^\pm + \sigma_2^\pm \frac{d\omega}{d\Phi}|_{\phi_0} \cdot \Delta\Phi(t) \].

(47)

By assuming that a phononic environmental mode carries at most a single excitation its harmonic spectrum can be effectively substituted by that of a two-level system

\[ H_q = \frac{d\omega}{d\Phi} \cdot \Delta\Phi_0 \text{ is an effective qubit-environment coupling constant and } (\sigma_{ph}^\pm) \text{ is dimensionless Pauli operator with unit magnitude.} \]

For experiments with Lorentzian noise we estimate the effective qubit-environment coupling constant (K) as a root-mean-square of Q₂ transition frequency fluctuation induced by applied structured noise.

Supplementary Note 14: Modulating the Transition Frequency of Q₂ with a Coherent Tone

In order to elucidate the mechanism of energy transport for Lorentzian noise applied to Q₂ we perform an additional measurement in which the Q₂ transition frequency is coherently modulated via the flux line while it is simultaneously coherently driven via the waveguide. This corresponds to the limiting case of very narrow noise power spectral density. We initially adjust the frequency of the coherent tone ν_c to be equal to the |b⟩, |d⟩ frequency difference Δ_b,Δ_d as in the Lorentzian noise case.

Measurements of the power spectral density at the resonator S₄(ω) show, similarly to the Lorentzian noise case (see Fig. 3c), a pronounced resonance at |d⟩ frequency composed of a broad part with linewidth of approx. 20 MHz and a strong narrow peak with the linewidth of approx. 500 kHz (see Supplementary Fig. 10a). The narrow peak is comparable in width with the environmental bright |b⟩ state pure dephasing rate \( \gamma_{ph}^b/2\pi = 380 \text{ kHz} \) which indicates that it probably originates from the broadened and frequency shifted coherent microwave drive tone applied to the waveguide. When sweeping ν_c between 100 and 250 MHz and keeping the power of the modulation tone con-
Supplementary Figure 10: Coherent environmental modulation of Q$_2$ transition frequency. a Measured power spectral densities of radiation extracted from the resonator $S_{d}(\omega)$ and re-emitted into the transmission line $S_{L}(\omega)$ for coherent modulation of Q$_2$ transition frequency with center frequency at $\nu_{c} = 190$ MHz and indicated powers $\Phi^2_c$. b Integrated extracted power $P_d$ as a function of coherent modulation frequency $\nu_c$ at fixed modulation tone power $\Phi^2_c = 54.6$ aWb$^2$. c Integrated powers $P_2$ and $P_4$ and the transfer efficiency $\eta$ as a function of coherent modulation power $\Phi^2_c$.

stant at $\Phi^2_c = 54.6$ aWb$^2$, $P_d$ shows similar dependence as in the white noise case where the extracted power is maximized for $\nu_c = \Delta_{b,d1}$ or $\Delta_{b,d2}$ (Supplementary Fig. 10b). The linewidth of the resonances $\Delta\nu_{d1} = 14.1$ MHz and $\Delta\nu_{d2} = 15.7$ MHz correspond to the $|d_1\rangle$ and $|d_2\rangle$ state spectral widths. In the case of Lorentzian noise these were additionally broadened by the Lorentzian PSD width of $\Delta\nu_{d} = 10$ MHz, which resulted in $\Delta\nu_{d1,L} = 30.0$ MHz and $\Delta\nu_{d2,L} = 21.5$ MHz (see fit in Supplementary Fig. 8).

For the low frequency coherent modulation the integrated power extracted from the resonator $P_4$ for $\nu_c = \Delta_{b,d1}$ is almost twice as large as in the Lorentzian noise case (see Supplementary Fig. 10c and Fig. 4b), with approximately half of the power originating from the narrow peak at $|d_1\rangle$. The enhanced value of $P_2$ is in agreement with the model proposed in the main text. The integrated power of the radiation re-emitted into the waveguide $P_2$ is significantly smaller (0.7 Photons/\mu s) when $P_4$ reaches its maximum, as compared to white noise (Fig. 4a) or Lorentzian noise (Fig. 4b) case. As a result the internal transfer efficiency, as defined in the main text, reaches maximum values above 95%. Although not relevant for light-harvesting processes the depletion of the bright $|b\rangle$ state population is a result of coherent population trapping in the $|d_1\rangle$ state and electromagnetic induced transparency (EIT) of the bright $|b\rangle$ state. In our experiment EIT originates from the destructive interference between coherent excitation of the bright state $|b\rangle$ and strong coherent exchange between $|d_1\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ state due to low frequency coherent modulation of Q$_2$ transition frequency$^{15,16}$.

Supplementary Note 15: Excitation with incoherent microwave radiation

We engineer a broadband incoherent microwave signal by up-converting white noise (see Supplementary Note 5). In the up-conversion process the high-frequency LO2 tone is multiplied by a low-frequency signal generated with an AWG (see inset to Supplementary Fig. 11). In our experiment, low-frequency white noise with flat spectral density up to $\omega_c/2\pi = 450$ MHz and exponential cutoff with characteristic width $\Delta\omega_c/2\pi = 5.44$ MHz is up-converted using a coherent tone at $\omega_{LO2}/2\pi = 6.371$ GHz. The resulting high-frequency incoherent signal has a power spectral density with a constant amplitude that spans over a 900 MHz wide band centered at $\omega_{B}/2\pi = 6.371$ GHz as shown in Supplementary Fig. 11. The attenuated incoherent signal is applied to the sample at port 1, similar to the RF line in Supplementary Fig. 1.

Applying Lorentzian noise to the incoherently excited system with central frequency $\nu_{c} = 190$ MHz set at the $|b\rangle$-$|d_1\rangle$ frequency difference we observe no enhancement of the extracted power $S_d(\omega)$ at $\nu_{d1}$ relative to $\nu_{d2}$ (Supplementary Fig. 12a) as in the case of coherent excitation. Contrary to the coherent excitation where a multi-photon process is fixed in frequency by a coherent tone, for the incoherent excitation a multi-photon process occurs over a larger frequency range and therefore does not produce a pronounced peak at the $|b\rangle$-$|d_1\rangle$ frequency difference.

Supplementary Figure 11: Power spectral density of applied microwave signals. Comparison between incoherent and coherent microwave signal, measured with a spectrum analyzer. The inset shows a diagram of the up-conversion process.
The Lorentzian noise increases the transferred power $P_4$ when resonant with the $|d\rangle$ and $|d_1\rangle$ or $|d_2\rangle$ frequency difference (Supplementary Fig. 12b) similar to the coherent excitation case. The transfer efficiency $\eta$ (Supplementary Fig. 12c) shows a non-monotonic behaviour as a function of applied Lorentzian noise power $\Phi^2_L$ or effective coupling constant $K$ with the maximum at $K/2\pi \approx 130$ MHz, similar to the coherent excitation case. However, the maximal efficiency $\eta_{\text{max}}^{\text{inc}} = 41\%$ is considerably lower compared to the coherent excitation and Lorentzian noise. The reduced efficiency can be attributed to the absence of a resonant multi-photon process, which increases the efficiency of the coherently excited system. On the other hand, the maximal efficiency obtained with Lorentzian noise ($\eta_{\text{max}}^{\text{inc}}$) is larger than the maximal efficiency obtained with white noise ($\eta_{\text{max}}^{\text{inc}}$). This is in agreement with observations for the coherently excited qubit system. We conclude that the narrow Lorentzian noise spectrum enhances the excitation transport when resonant with the appropriate energy level mismatch for both coherently and incoherently excited qubit systems.
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